

# A Query Algebra for tolog

#### Formalizing tolog



**TMRA** '05

#### **Lars Marius Garshol**

Development Manager, Ontopia <larsga@ontopia.net>

2005-10-05



### **Overview**

- Quick introduction to tolog
- Superficial intro to the query algebra
- Conclusions and further work



## **Quick introduction to tolog**



tolog in 5 minutes



## A brief tolog history

#### tmlog

- the original idea came from thinking about using Prolog to query topic maps
- this resulted in a Jython prototype in December 2000
- which again turned into a paper for XML Europe 2001 in May 2001

### • tolog 0.1

- the first proper version of the language
- implemented in Java in OKS 1.3 in autumn 2002
- later also implemented in TM4J

#### • tolog 1.0

- first version to be able to query all of topic maps
- adds on and extends 0.1
- implemented in OKS 2.0, released December 2003
- currently used as the foundation for many commercial projects
- The query algebra covers all of tolog 1.0



## Understanding tolog

- tolog does querying by matching a query against the data
- In this process variables are bound to values
- A tolog query result is basically a table with the variables as columns and each set of matches as a row
- Each row represents a set of values that make the query true

А	В
Zandonai, Riccardo	Mascagni, Pietro
Mascagni, Pietro	Ponchielli, Amilcar
Puccini, Giacomo	Ponchielli, Amilcar

Query:

Return all composers who were pupils of another composer, plus the teacher

pupil-of(\$A : pupil, \$B: teacher)?



# **Building queries**

#### • AND

born-in(\$PERSON : person, \$PLACE : place),
 located-in(\$PLACE : containee, italy : container)?

#### • OR

- { premiere(\$OPERA : opera, \$CITY : place) | premiere(\$OPERA : opera, \$THEATRE : place), located-in(\$THEATRE : containee, \$CITY : container) } ?

#### • NOT

 born-in(\$PERSON : person, \$PLACE : place), located-in(\$PLACE : containee, italy : container), not(instance-of(\$PERSON, composer))?

#### OPTIONAL

- instance-of(\$COMPOSER, composer),
{ date-of-birth(\$COMPOSER, \$DATE) } ?



### **Other tricks**

#### • Projection

 select \$PERSON from born-in(\$PERSON : person, \$PLACE : place), located-in(\$PLACE : containee, italy : container)?

#### Counting

 select \$COMPOSER, count(\$OPERA) from composed-by(\$COMPOSER : composer, \$OPERA : opera)?

#### Ordering

- instance-of(\$PERSON, person) order by \$PERSON?

#### Paging

- instance-of(\$PERSON, person) order by \$PERSON limit 5?
- instance-of(\$PERSON, person) order by \$PERSON limit 5 offset 5?



### Three kinds of predicates

#### • Built-in predicates

- instance-of, topic-name, role-player, association-role, ...
- =, /=, <=, ...

#### Dynamic predicates

- generated from association, occurrence, and name types
- born-in, located-in, ...

#### User-defined predicates

- inspired-by(X, Y) :-

composed-by(\$X : composer, \$OPERA : opera), based-on(\$OPERA : result, \$WORK : source), written-by(\$WORK : work, \$Y : writer).



## The query algebra



A superficial look



## A query algebra? What's that?

- Basically, a set of mathematical operators that correspond to the tolog language constructs
- This includes
  - a mathematical model of Topic Maps,
  - a mathematical model of tolog result sets,
  - a mathematical notion of what predicates are,
  - a set of operators on result sets
- All of this is effectively a mathematical mirroring of tolog



## Great! So what?

#### • The query algebra is a formal definition of what the language *does*

- this did not exist before
- now we know what to implement, and other implementors know, too

#### • The query algebra is the key to optimizations

- query optimization is the art of automatically transforming slow queries into fast queries that give the same result
- the algebra tells us what modifications we can make to a query without changing the results
- this is similar to how normal algebra says that  $5^*3 + 5^*2 = (2 + 3) * 5$

#### • The query algebra is the key to type inferencing

- when using the built-in predicates developers would often screw up
- for example, the same variable would be used as a topic name and as a string
- type inferencing allows us to tell the developer to make his<sup>1</sup> mind up
- type inferencing is *hard*, and the query algebra tells us how to do it

<sup>1</sup> I've never seen a female developer have this problem



## A formal model for Topic Maps

#### • In the paper I use the Q model

- this was first presented at Extreme Markup earlier this year

#### How Q works

- a model instance is a set of quintuples
- (subject, property, identity, context, value)
- the first four elements are identifiers, the last can be an identifier or a value
- the identity of a quint makes it possible to talk about it (yes, reification)
- the context is the identifier of a set of topics making up a scope

#### The Extreme paper contains

- a mapping from any TMDM instance to a Q instance
- a mapping from Q instances following these conventions to TMDM
- the same for RDF
- TMDM-in-Q instances can be treated as RDF
- RDF-in-Q instances can, once annotated slightly, be treated as topic maps



### TMDM and Q

Basically, Q tells you how to implement TMDM on a quad store...



© 2005 Ontopia AS



## The formal model, formally presented

#### • *I* is the set of all identifiers

- an identifier is just an opaque token
- it doesn't mean anything by itself, it just identifies something

#### • *L* is the set of all literals

- these are data values like strings, integers, URIs, etc
- *A* is the union of *I* and *L*
- A model is a subset of (I x I x I x I x A)
- Constraints
  - you can't have two quints in a model with the same id
  - you can't use a quint id as a property
  - you can't use a quint id as a context



## tolog query results

#### • Matches are sets of (key, value) pairs

- the keys are tolog variables
- the values are values to which the variables are bound
- duplicate keys cannot occur in the same match

#### • Match sets are sets of matches

- these correspond to tolog query results



### Match set example

- The expression date-of-birth(\$PERSON, DATE) would produce a match set like this:
  - {{(\$PERSON, lmg), (\$DATE, 1973-12-25)},
     {(\$PERSON, stine), (\$DATE, 1973-03-24)} ... }



### **Predicate applications**

#### • Predicates become functions in the query algebra

- f(Q, s) where Q is a topic map, and s is the argument tuple
- instance-of(Q, (\$P, person))

#### • The result of a function is always a match set

- variables in the argument tuple are bound in the match set
- filtering by literals is already done



## AND

• e, e' maps to e ⊕ e'

#### • The definition of ⊕ requires another concept

- $m \sim m'$  if the matches are compatible
- that is, if no variables in the two matches contain different values for the same variable
- M ⊕ M' can now be defined as the set of unions of pairs of matches in M and M' which are compatible

#### • Formal definitions

 $m_1 \sim m_2 \Leftrightarrow \exists k, v_1, v_2 | (k, v_1) \in m_1 \land (k, v_2) \in m_2 \land v_1 \neq v_2$ 

 $M_1 \oplus M_2 = \{m_1 \cup m_2 | \exists m_1 \in M_1, m_2 \in M_2 \land m_1 \sim m_2\}$ 



### An example

- born-in(\$P : person, \$C : place), located-in(\$C : containee, italy : container)?
- The born-in produces all (person, city) combinations where the person is born in the city
  - $e = \{\{(\$P, Img), (\$C, Iardal)\}, \{(\$P, puccini), (\$C, Iucca)\}\}$
- The located-in produces all cities in Italy
  - $e' = \{\{(\C, \Iucca)\}, \{(\C, \roma)\}\}$
- The result of  $e \oplus e'$  is
  - {(\$P, Img), (\$C, Iærdal)} is lost, because e' has no compatible matches
  - {(\$P, puccini), (\$C, lucca)} is compatible with {(\$C, lucca)} from e'
  - the last two matches are unioned, which produces
    - {(\$P, puccini), (\$C, lucca)}}

#### • Note that if there are no common variables you get a crossproduct...

© 2005 Ontopia AS



## OR

- {  $e \mid e'$  } maps to  $e \cup e'$
- This is straightforward, but there are issues with it
  - if all matches in e have variable v bound, this doesn't mean those from e' need to
  - the resulting match set can be non-homogenous
  - this needs to be formalized and further described in the algebra



## NOT

#### • NOT is not trivial...

- essentially, what is done is to produce all possible combinations of the variables used in the NOT, then subtract those matched by the negated expression
- not(e) thus maps to

$$\Pi(\beta(\mathcal{A}^{|V'|}, V) - e, V \cap V')$$



## **Built-in predicates**

- The built-in predicates are all defined in terms of a \_q predicate
- This predicate operates directly on the Q model instance
- For example:
  - association-role(\$ASSOC, \$ROLE) : \_q(\$TM, ASSOCIATION, \$I, Q, \$ASSOC),
     \_q(\$ASSOC, \$TYPE, \$ROLE, \$SCOPE, \$PLAYER),
     \_q(\$TYPE, META\_TYPE, \$I2, Q, ASSOCIATION\_ROLE).
- Dynamic predicates are mapped to built-in predicates



## The \_q predicate

- The definition of the \_q predicate is very simple
  - $q(Q, p) = \beta(Q, p)$
- The  $\beta$  function can take a set of tuples, and match it against a tuple of variables and literals
  - the tuple set is filtered against the literals, and then
  - matches with bindings for the variables are produced
- This makes defining \_q trivial



## Finishing up



What's done, and

What's not



### What about TMQL?

#### • tolog is the foundation of the OKS at the moment

- TMQL won't be here for a while yet
- meanwhile we needed a proper definition of tolog

#### • This work is useful input to TMQL

- I've now learned to create a query algebra without getting in anyone's way
- we now have an alternative query algebra to judge the TMQL one against

#### • Ontopia wants to support TMQL

- having query algebras for both tolog and TMQL makes it easier to see how to do that
  - can TMQL be compiled to tolog?
  - can tolog be compiled to TMQL?
  - is there a common subset?



## Conclusion

- The query algebra is done (mostly)
- The algebraic properties are only partly known
  - proving them is doable, but takes a little work
- The type inferencing is not done
  - again, it's doable, but takes a little work