

TM/XML

A human-friendly XML syntax for Topic Maps



TMRA '05

Lars Marius Garshol

Ontopia <larsga@ontopia.net>

Dmitry Bogachev

Omega Consulting <dmitryv@cogeco.ca>

2005-10-05



Overview

Introduction

- why another XML syntax for Topic Maps?

• The syntax

- how it works
- examples
- comparison with XTM

TMViews

- using TM/XML with fragments

Conclusion

- status
- further work



Introduction



Why TM/XML?



Why TM/XML? What's wrong with XTM?

• As an interchange syntax XTM is fine

- it's not perfect, but few things are
- it is *not* our goal that TM/XML should compete with XTM
- we have other uses in mind for TM/XML
- Basically, TM/XML is intended for use when integrating with non-Topic Maps systems
- Goals
 - minimize learning curve for integrators
 - remove need for Topic Maps software in clients
 - produce as natural XML as possible



Knowledge hubs





Using the hub in a portal





Connecting portals



http://www.ontopia.net/



The TM/XML syntax



How it works

Examples



Basic idea

- An XML syntax for Topic Maps is effectively a mapping between two data models
 - that of XML, and that of Topic Maps

• XTM represents an object mapping

- that is, each TMDM construct has an element of its own in XTM
- the XML vocabulary is generic, and formulated in terms of the TMDM model
- this is why XTM is so difficult to process with ordinary XML tools

• TM/XML represents a semantic mapping

- that is, the vocabulary of the topic map is mapped into XML
- the XML vocabulary reflects the terms from the domain of the topic map
- the result is relatively natural XML, something a human being might have written to express the same information
- this is why TM/XML is easy to process with ordinary XML tools



An example topic map in LTM

```
[Img : person = "Lars Marius Garshol"]
```

{Img, homepage, "http://www.garshol.priv.no"}

```
[ontopia : company = "Ontopia"]
```

{ontopia, homepage, "http://www.ontopia.net"}

employed-by(Img : employee, ontopia : employer)



<topicmap ...> <person id="lmg"> [Img : person ...

- We start with the 'Img' topic
- Make an element type name from the topic type
 - subject identifiers turn into namespace names
 - if none exist, we create names from the item identifiers
- If the topic has no subject identifiers or locators, create an ID
 - put this in the "id" attribute



<topicmap ...>
 [Img : person = "Lars Marius Garshol"]
 <person id="Img">

<iso:topic-name><tm:value>Lars M. Garshol</tm:value></iso:topic-name>

- We create an element type name from the type of the topic name
- In this case it's the default name type defined by ISO 13250
- Scope and reifier would have been captured with attributes
- The <tm:value> element exists so we can support variants



<topicmap ...> {lmg, homepage, "http://www.garshol.priv.no"} <iso:topic-name><tm:value>Lars M. Garshol</tm:value></iso:topic-name> <homepage datatype="...#anyURI">http://www.garshol.priv.no</homepage>

- We create an element type name from the occurrence type
- The value of the occurrence becomes the element content
- Datatype is captured in an attribute
- Scope and reifier as for topic names



<topicmap ...> employed-by(Img : employee, ontopia : employer) <person id="Img"> <iso:topic-name><tm:value>Lars M. Garshol</tm:value></iso:topic-name>

- Again, element type created from association type
- Role captured in an attribute
- topicref refers to player of other role
- Scope and reifier as before
- (N-aries and unaries handled differently; see paper for details)



Full result

<topicmap xmlns:iso="http://psi.topicmaps.com/iso13250/" xmlns:tm="http://psi.ontopia.net/xml/tm-xml/">

<person id="Img">

<iso:topic-name><tm:value>Lars M. Garshol</tm:value></iso:topic-name> <homepage datatype="...#anyURI" >http://www.garshol.priv.no</homepage> <employed-by topicref="ontopia" role="employee"/> </person>

<company id="ontopia"> <iso:topic-name><tm:value>Ontopia</tm:value></iso:topic-name> <homepage datatype="...#anyURI" >http://www.ontopia.net</homepage> <employed-by topicref="Img" role="employer"/> </company> </topicmap>



Comparison

LTM original

- 5 significant lines
- employed-by(%company% : employer, \$E : employee)?

• XTM

- 48 significant lines
- //xtm:association
 [xtm:member
 [xtm:roleSpec / xtm:topicRef / @xlink:href = '#employer']
 [xtm:topicRef / @xlink:href = concat('#', \$company)]]

[xtm:instanceOf / xtm:topicRef / @xlink:href = '#employed-by']

• TM/XML

- 14 significant lines
- //person [employed-by/@topicref = \$company]



RELAX-NG schema for TM/XML

```
topicmap = element * { topic+ }
topic =
    element * { id?, identifier*, locator*, topicname*, occurrence*, association* }
identifier = element identifier { xsd:anyURI }
locator = element locator { xsd:anyURI }
topicname = element * { reifier?, scope?, value, variant* }
variant = element variant { scope, reifier?, datatype?, text }
occurrence = element * { reifier?, scope?, datatype?, text }
association = unary | binary | nary
unary = element * { reifier?, scope?, role }
role = attribute role { text }
binary = element * { reifier?, scope?, role, topicref }
nary = element * { reifier?, scope?, assocrole, assocrole+ }
assocrole = element * { reifier?, text }
```



TM-Views



What is it?

What is it for?



TM-Views

- TM/XML was created to be used with fragments
- How are fragment boundaries to be determined?
 - sometimes you want the whole topic
 - sometimes you want the topic plus neighbours
 - sometimes you want the topic plus typing topics
 - sometimes you want just the names
 - ...

How to handle fragment updates?

- if the information about topic X comes from sources A and B, how can A update X without overwriting B's data?
- this can be solved with custom programming, but the point of merging is to avoid that
- TM-Views enables A and B to describe what each is responsible for
- each can then update its own view of topic X



An example

```
<view id="person_view" name="Person view">
 <topic type="person">
  <identifier type="subjectIdentifier" />
  <basename type="*">
   <except> <basename type="nickname" /> </except>
  </basename>
  <occurrence type="homepage" />
  <association type="employed-by" start_role="employer" role="employee">
   <topic type="company"> <identifier type="*"/>
     <basename type="*"/>
     . . .
   </topic>
  </association>
 </topic>
</view>
```



Conclusion



Status

Further work



Status of work

• The specification is written

- basically the paper in the proceedings

A prototype has been written

- using Jython on top of OKS
- was used to produce the examples

Will be implemented in OKS

- as part of web service interface
- integrated as part of TMRAP



Further work

Solving the update problem

- how to update the topic map when external information changes?
- should be possible to just push in a new fragment
- TM-Views is part of the solution

Schema generation

- life is much easier for developers if they can get a schema for the TM/XML data they receive/send
- this can be automatically generated given a schema for the topic map
- precise details still need to be worked out