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Abstract

We propose bounded fitting as a scheme for learning description logic concepts in the presence of ontologies. Two main advantages are (1) theoretical guarantees regarding the generalization of the learned concepts to unseen examples in the sense of PAC learning and (2) the fact that implementation can leverage SAT solvers in a natural way. We also present our system SPELL which implements bounded fitting based on a SAT solver and compare its performance to a state-of-the-art learner.
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The manual curation of knowledge bases (KBs) is time consuming and expensive, making learning-based approaches to knowledge acquisition an attractive alternative. In description logics (DLs), concepts are a fundamental class of expressions that are used as a central building block for ontologies and also as queries to KBs. Consequently, the subject of learning DL concepts from labeled data examples has received great interest, resulting in various implemented systems such as DL-Learner, DL-Foil, and YINYANG [1, 2, 3]. These systems take as input a set of positively and negatively labeled examples and an ontology $\mathcal{O}$, and try to construct a concept that fits the examples w.r.t. $\mathcal{O}$. This is related to the fitting problem, asking to decide the existence of a fitting concept, which has also been studied intensely [4, 5, 6].

In this extended abstract we report about the recent publication [7], see also [8] for technical details and proofs. We propose a new approach to concept learning in DLs that we call bounded fitting, inspired by both bounded model checking as known from systems verification [9] and by Occam algorithms from computational learning theory [10]. The idea of bounded fitting is to search for a fitting concept of bounded size, iteratively increasing the size bound until a fitting is found. This approach has two main advantages, which we discuss next.

First, it comes with formal guarantees regarding the generalization of the returned concept from the training data to previously unseen data. This is formalized by Valiant’s framework of probably approximately correct (PAC) learning [11]. Given sufficiently many data examples sampled from an unknown distribution, bounded fitting returns a concept that with high probability $\delta$ has a classification error bounded by some small $\epsilon$ on examples drawn according
to the same distribution. It is well-known that PAC learning is intimately linked to Occam
algorithms which guarantee to find a hypothesis of small size \([10, 12]\). By design, algorithms
following the bounded fitting paradigm are Occam, and as a consequence the number of examples
needed for generalization depends only linearly on \(1/\delta\), and the size of the target concept to be
learned, and log-linearly on \(1/\epsilon\). This generalization guarantee holds independently of the DL
used to formulate concepts and ontologies.

The second advantage is that, in important cases, bounded fitting enables learning based
on SAT solvers and thus leverages the practical efficiency of these systems. In particular, this
is the case for concepts formulated in \(\mathcal{EL}\) and ontologies formulated in \(\mathcal{ELH}^r\). In this case,
the \textit{size-restricted fitting problem}, which is defined like the fitting problem except that the
maximum size of fitting concepts to be considered is given as an additional input (in unary),
is NP-complete \([13]\). This situation indeed suggests to use a SAT solver. For comparison, we
mention that the unbounded fitting problem is ExpTime-complete in this case \([5]\). The use of a
SAT-solver is further justified by the fact that there is no \textit{polynomial time} algorithm for learning
\(\mathcal{EL}\)-concepts with PAC guarantees, unless RP = NP \([14, 15]\).

As a further contribution, we analyze the generalization ability of other relevant approaches
to constructing fitting \(\mathcal{EL}\)-concepts. We start with algorithms that return fittings that are
‘prominent’ from a logical perspective in that they are most specific or most general or of
minimum quantifier depth among all fittings. Algorithms with such characteristics and their
applications are discussed in \([16]\). Notably, constructing fittings via direct products of positive
elements yields most specific fittings \([17, 18]\). Our result is that, even without ontologies, these
types of algorithms are not \textit{sample-efficient}, that is, no polynomial amount of positive and
negative examples is sufficient to achieve generalization in the PAC sense.

We next turn to algorithms based on so-called downward refinement operators which underlie
all implemented DL learning systems that we are aware of. We consider two natural such
operators that are rather similar to one another and combine them with a breadth-first search
strategy. The first operator can be described as exploring ‘most-general specializations’ of the
current hypotheses and the second one does the same, but is made ‘artificially Occam’ (with,
most likely, a negative impact on practicality). We prove that while the first operator does not
lead to a sample-efficient algorithm (even without ontologies), the second one does. This leaves
open whether or not implemented systems based on refinement operators admit generalization
guarantees, as they implement complex heuristics and optimizations.

As our final contribution we present SPELL (for \textit{SAT-based PAC \(\mathcal{EL}\) concept Learner}), a SAT-
based system that implements bounded fitting of \(\mathcal{EL}\)-concepts under \(\mathcal{ELH}^r\)-ontologies.\(^1\) We
evaluate SPELL on several datasets and compare it to the only other available learning system
for \(\mathcal{EL}\) that we are aware of, the \(\mathcal{EL}\) tree learner (ELTL) component of the DL-Learner system \([1]\).
We find that the running time of SPELL is almost always significantly lower than that of ELTL.
Since, as we also show, it is the size of the target concept that has most impact on the running
time, this means that SPELL can learn larger target queries than ELTL. We also analyze the
relative strengths and weaknesses of the two approaches, identifying classes of inputs on which
one of the systems performs significantly better than the other one. Finally, we make initial
experiments regarding generalization, where both systems generalize well to unseen data, even

\(^1\)Available at https://github.com/spell-system/SPELL.
Experimental Results

We refer to [7] for theoretical results and summarize here some of our experimental results. But first we make precise the bounded fitting approach to concept learning.

**Definition 1.** Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ontology language, $\mathcal{C}$ a concept language and let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an algorithm for the size-restricted fitting problem for $\mathcal{C}$-concepts under $\mathcal{L}$-ontologies. Then $\text{Bounded-Fitting}_\mathfrak{A}$ is the algorithm that, given a collection of labeled data examples $E$ and an $\mathcal{L}$-ontology $\mathcal{O}$, runs $\mathfrak{A}$ with input $(E, \mathcal{O}, s)$ to decide whether there is a $C \in \mathcal{C}$ with $|C| \leq s$ that fits $E$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{O}$, for $s = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, returning a fitting concept as soon as it finds one.

As mentioned in the introduction, the size-restricted fitting problem for $\mathcal{EL}$-concepts under $\mathcal{ELH^+}$-ontologies is NP-complete. On top of that, it admits a natural translation to SAT. Along with some optimizations, e.g., to break symmetries in the solution space for the resulting SAT formulas, we have implemented this translation in the system SPELL. We have evaluated SPELL on several newly crafted benchmarks and compared it to the ELTL component of the DL-Learner system [1]. Existing benchmarks did not suit our purpose as they aim at learning concepts that are formulated in more expressive DLs of the $\mathcal{ALC}$ family; as a consequence, a fitting $\mathcal{EL}$ concept almost never exists.

The first benchmark uses the Yago 4 knowledge base [19]. The smallest version of Yago 4 is still huge and contains over 40 million assertions. We extracted a fragment of 12 million assertions that focuses on movies and famous persons. We then systematically vary the number of labeled examples and the size of the target $\mathcal{EL}$-concepts. The latter take the form $C_n = \exists \text{actor}. \bigwedge_{i=1}^n r_i \top$ where each $r_i$ is a role name that represents a property of actors in Yago and $n$ is increased to obtain larger queries. The positive examples are selected by querying Yago with $C_n$ and the negative examples by querying Yago with generalizations of $C_n$. The results are presented in Figure 1. They show that the size of the target concept has a strong

Figure 1: Yago experiment, dark red area indicates timeout (60min)
impact on the running time whereas the impact of the number of positive and negative examples is much more modest. We also find that SPELL performs ~1.5 orders of magnitude better than ELTL, meaning in particular that it can handle larger target queries.

Since Yago has only a very restricted ontology that essentially consists of inclusions $A \sqsubseteq B$ with $A$, $B$ concept names, we complement the above experiment with a second one based on OWL2Bench. OWL2Bench is a benchmark for ontology-mediated querying that combines a database generator with a hand-crafted ontology which extends the University Ontology Benchmark [20, 21]. The ontology is formulated in OWL 2 EL and we extracted its $\mathcal{EL}^H$ fragment which uses all aspects of this DL and comprises 142 concept names, 83 role names, and 173 concept inclusions. We use datasets that contain 2500-2600 individuals and 100-200 examples, generated as in the Yago case. We designed 6 $\mathcal{EL}$-concepts with 3-5 occurrences of concept and role names and varying topology. The results are shown in Table 1. The difference in running time is even more pronounced in this experiment, with SPELL returning a fitting $\mathcal{EL}$-concept almost instantaneously in all cases.

We also performed initial experiments to evaluate how well the constructed fittings generalize to unseen data. We again use the Yago benchmark, but now split the examples into training data and testing data (assuming a uniform probability distribution). Table 2 lists the median accuracies of returned fittings (over 20 experiments) where the number of examples in the training data ranges from 5 to 65. As expected, fittings returned by SPELL generalize extremely well, even when the number of training examples is remarkably small. To our surprise, ELTL exhibits the same characteristics. This may be due to the fact that some heuristics of ELTL prefer fittings of smaller size, which might make ELTL an Occam algorithm. It would be interesting to carry out more extensive experiments on this aspect.

In [7], we carry out additional experiments in which we aim to highlight the respective strengths and weaknesses of SPELL and ELTL or, more generally, of bounded fitting versus refinement-operator based approaches. They show that the performance of bounded fitting is most affected by the number of existential restrictions in the target concept whereas the performance of refinement is most affected by the distance that the target concept has from $\top$ in the subsumption lattice.
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