## Factorization, Join (and Meet) of Blades

# Efficient algorithms for factorization of blades and and computing the join of blades. 
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## Motivation

Blade factorization: $\mathbf{B}_{k}=\mathbf{b}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{b}_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_{k}$.
Applications of blade factorization:

- Conversion to other (LA-compatible) representations.
- As a building block of other algorithms.

The join: $\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}$ is the union of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$.
Applications of the join:

- True union of subspaces.
- Computing the meet.

In my implementation the join is interwoven with factorization, so factorization must be discussed first.

## Blade Representation

The algorithms in this talk are based on the additive presentation. Blades are represented as a sum of basis blades.

Example of basis for 3-D space:
$\{\underbrace{1}_{\text {grade } 0}, \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}}_{\text {grade 1 }}, \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}, \mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}}_{\text {grade } 2}, \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}}_{\text {grade } 3}\}$.

## Example of FastFactorization
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Suppose our input blade is:
$\mathbf{B}=1.0 \mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}-0.5 \mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{4}+0.25 \mathbf{e}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{4}-0.75 \mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{4}$.
FastFactorization factorizes this to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{b}_{1}=1.0 \mathbf{e}_{1} \\
& \mathbf{b}_{2}=1.0 .25 \mathbf{e}_{4}, \\
& \mathbf{b}_{3}=
\end{aligned}
$$

such that $\mathbf{B}=\mathrm{b}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~b}_{2} \wedge \mathrm{~b}_{3}$.

## Example of FastFactorization

Suppose our input blade is:
$\mathbf{B}=1.0 \mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}-0.5 \mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{4}+0.25 \mathbf{e}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{4}-0.75 \mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{4}$.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{b}_{1}=1.0 \mathbf{e}_{1} \\
& \mathbf{b}_{2}= \\
& \mathbf{b}_{3}= \\
& \mathbf{b}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

such that $\mathbf{B}=\mathrm{b}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~b}_{2} \wedge \mathrm{~b}_{3}$.
The coordinates of the factors are $\pm$ the coordinates of the input blade! How does this work?
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Algorithm Factorization(B):

1. Find the largest basis blade $\mathbf{F}$ in the representation of $\mathbf{B}$.
I.e., $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{e}_{i} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{j} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{e}_{k}$.
2. Project the basis vectors of $\mathbf{F}$ onto $\mathbf{B}$.

Use orthogonal projection: $\left.\left.\mathbf{b}_{i}=\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right\rfloor \mathbf{B}\right)\right\rfloor \mathbf{B}^{-1}$. The $\mathrm{b}_{i}$ will be independent.
3. Compute the scale $\beta$ such that $\mathbf{B}=\beta \mathbf{b}_{i} \wedge \mathbf{b}_{j} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_{k}$.

This works but is a bit slow (in our implementation, $50 \times$ to $100 \times$ slower than a simple bilinear outer product).
$\rightarrow$ The projection is expensive!

## Orthogonal Projection Shortcut

Universiteit
VAN
AMSTERDAM


## Orthogonal Projection Shortcut

UNIVERSITEIT
VAN


Instead of doing a true projection $\left.\left.\mathbf{b}_{i}=\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right\rfloor \mathbf{B}\right)\right\rfloor \mathbf{B}^{-1}$, we do a 'pseudo projection' $\left.\left.\quad \mathbf{b}_{i}=\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right\rfloor \mathbf{F}\right)\right\rfloor \mathbf{B}^{-1}$.

The pseudo projection is computationally cheap because it amounts to simply selecting coordinates from $\mathbf{B}$.
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Algorithm FastFactorization(B):
Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a $k$-blade, with $1<k<n$.
The algorithm computes a factorization
$\mathbf{B}=\beta \mathbf{b}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{b}_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_{k}$, where $\beta$ is a scalar:

1. Find the basis blade $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{f}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{f}_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{f}_{k}$ to which the absolute largest coordinate of $\mathbf{B}$ refers. The $\mathbf{f}_{i}$ are basis vectors. Let $\beta$ be the coordinate that refers to $\mathbf{F}$.
2. Compute $\mathbf{B}_{s}=\mathbf{B} / \beta$.
3. For each $\mathbf{f}_{i}$ compute: $\left.\left.\mathbf{b}_{i}=\left(\mathbf{f}_{i}\right\rfloor \mathbf{F}^{-1}\right)\right\rfloor \mathbf{B}_{s}$.

Because the $k$ vectors $\mathbf{b}_{i}$ are linearly independent and all contained in $\mathbf{B}$, they must form a factorization of $\mathbf{B}_{s}$.

## FastFactorization 'Proof'

(The full proof in the paper).
Again, suppose our input blade is:
$\mathbf{B}=1.0 \mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}-0.5 \mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{4}+0.25 \mathbf{e}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{4}-0.75 \mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{4}$.
Then $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{e}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{3}$, and the factors are:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{b}_{1} & =1.0 \mathbf{e}_{1} & +0.25 \mathbf{e}_{4}, \\
\mathbf{b}_{2} & = & 1.0 \mathbf{e}_{2} & +0.5 \mathbf{e}_{4}, \\
\mathbf{b}_{3} & = & 1.0 \mathbf{e}_{3} & -0.75 \mathbf{e}_{4} .
\end{array}
$$

The diagonal typesetting of $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}$ should make it obvious that the $\mathbf{b}_{i}$ are linearly independent.

## FastFactorization Code Generation

We used code generation to implement FastFactorization.
One function was generated for each valid combination of basis blade and grade.

Example of a generated function:

```
void factorE234grade3(const float *B, float **b) \{
    \(\mathrm{b}[2][0]=\mathrm{B}[0]\);
    \(\mathrm{b}[1][0]=-\mathrm{B}[1]\);
    \(\mathrm{b}[0][0]=\mathrm{B}[2]\);
    \(\mathrm{b}[0][1]=\mathrm{b}[1][2]=\mathrm{b}[2][3]=\mathrm{B}[3]\);
    \(\mathrm{b}[2][4]=\mathrm{B}[6]\);
    \(\mathrm{b}[1][4]=-\mathrm{B}[8] ;\)
    \(\mathrm{b}[0][4]=\mathrm{B}[9] ;\)
    \(\mathrm{b}[0][2]=\mathrm{b}[0][3]=\mathrm{b}[1][1]=\mathrm{b}[1][3]=\mathrm{b}[2][1]=\mathrm{b}[2][2]=0.0 \mathrm{f} ;\)
\}
```


## FastFactorization Implementation

The full FastFactorization implementation amounts to:

- Filter out trivial special cases (hand written).
- Find largest coordinate / basis blade (hand written).
- Call the appropriate factorization function (generated) via a lookup table .


## FastFactorization Benchmarks

Benchmark: Factorize millions of random blades.
Used one CPU on a Core2Duo 1.83Ghz.
Compiled using VS2005.

| $n$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| factorizations per second | 15 M | 9.2 M | 5.2 M | 2.8 M |
| relative to O.P. | $5.1 \times$ | $5.1 \times$ | $3.4 \times$ | $3.8 \times$ |
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The join $\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}$ is the union of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$.
The join is a non-linear product, for example in general $\mathbf{A} \cup(\mathbf{B}+\mathbf{C}) \neq \mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}+\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{C}$.

The meet $\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B}$ can be (most?) efficiently computed from the join using $\left.\left.\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B}=(\mathbf{B}\rfloor(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B})^{-1}\right)\right\rfloor \mathbf{A}$.

## The Join, Meet and Delta Product Illustrated

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{a}_{1} \wedge \mathrm{a}_{2} \wedge \mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{c} \wedge \mathrm{~b}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A \cup B \stackrel{a_{1}, a_{2}}{a_{2}}
$$

$$
\frac{a_{1}, a_{2}}{A C}, b_{1}
$$

## $a_{1} a_{2} \square b_{1}$ <br> $A \Delta B$
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Algorithm FastJoin $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon)$ :
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2. Swap $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ such that grade $(\mathbf{A}) \geq \operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{B})$.
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## The FastJoin Algorithm

Algorithm FastJoin $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon)$ :

1. Filter out trivial cases.
2. Swap $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ such that grade $(\mathbf{A}) \geq \operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{B})$.
3. Set $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow \operatorname{unit}(\mathbf{A})$.
4. Find the largest basis blade term $\mathbf{F}$ in $\mathbf{B}$.
5. While grade $(\mathbf{J}) \neq n$ and not all basis vectors $\mathbf{f}_{i}$ in $\mathbf{F}$ have been tried:
(a) Take basis vector $\mathbf{f}_{i}$ in $\mathbf{F}$ which has not been tried yet.
(b) Compute $\left.\left.\mathbf{b}_{i}=\left(\mathbf{f}_{i}\right\rfloor \mathbf{F}^{-1}\right)\right\rfloor \mathbf{B}$.
(c) Compute $\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{J} \wedge \operatorname{unit}\left(\mathbf{b}_{i}\right)$.
(d) If $(\|\mathbf{H}\| \geq \epsilon)$ set $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow \operatorname{unit}(\mathbf{H})$.
6. Return J.
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The delta product $\Delta$ (geometric symmetric difference) is used:

$$
\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B})=\frac{\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A})+\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{B})+\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A} \Delta \mathbf{B})}{2} .
$$

## The StableFastJoin Algorithm
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Start with steps 1-5 of $\operatorname{FastJoin}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon)$.

## The StableFastJoin Algorithm

UNIVERSITEIT
VAN

Algorithm StableFastJoin(A, B, $\epsilon, \delta)$ :
Start with steps 1-5 of $\operatorname{FastJoin}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon)$.
6. If $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J})=n)$
or $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J})=\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A})+\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{B}))$, return J. Otherwise:

## The StableFastJoin Algorithm

Algorithm StableFastJoin(A, B, $\epsilon, \delta)$ :
Start with steps 1-5 of FastJoin (A, B, $\epsilon$ ).
6. If $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J})=n)$
or $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J})=\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A})+\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{B}))$, return J. Otherwise:
7. Compute grade $(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B})$ using the delta product.

## The StableFastJoin Algorithm

Algorithm StableFastJoin( $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon, \delta)$ :
Start with steps 1-5 of FastJoin(A, B, $\epsilon$ ).
6. If $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J})=n)$
or $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J})=\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A})+\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{B}))$, return J. Otherwise:
7. Compute grade $(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B})$ using the delta product.
8. While $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J})<\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}))$
(a) For all valid $i$, compute $\left.\left.\mathbf{b}_{i}=\left(\mathbf{f}_{i}\right\rfloor \mathbf{F}^{-1}\right)\right\rfloor \mathbf{B}$.
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## The StableFastJoin Algorithm

Algorithm StableFastJoin(A, B $, \epsilon, \delta)$ :
Start with steps 1-5 of FastJoin(A, B, $\epsilon$ ).
6. If $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J})=n)$
or $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J})=\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A})+\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{B}))$, return J. Otherwise:
7. Compute grade $(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B})$ using the delta product.
8. While $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J})<\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}))$
(a) For all valid $i$, compute $\left.\left.\mathbf{b}_{i}=\left(\mathbf{f}_{i}\right\rfloor \mathbf{F}^{-1}\right)\right\rfloor \mathbf{B}$.

Set $\mathbf{b}_{m}$ to that $\mathbf{b}_{i}$ which leads to the largest $\left\|\mathbf{J} \wedge \mathbf{b}_{i}\right\|$.
(b) Update $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow \mathbf{J} \wedge \mathbf{b}_{m}$.
9. Return J.

## FastJoin Implementation
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Code generation is used to generate the core of FastJoin. Example of a generated function (step $5 \mathrm{~b} / 5 \mathrm{c}$ of algorithm):

```
void factorAndOuterProductE35G3(const float *], const float *B, float *H) {
    H[0] = J[3] * B[5] - J[2] * B[6] + J[0] * B[9];
    H[1] = J[6] * B[5] - J[5] * B[6];
    H[2] = J[8] * B[5] - J[7] * B[6] - J[4] * B[9];
    H[3] = J[9] * B[5] - J[5] * B[9];
    H[4] = J[9] * B[6] - J[6] * B[9];
    return B[5] * B[5] + B[6] * B[6] + B[9] * B[9];
}
```
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## FastJoin Implementation

Code generation is used to generate the core of FastJoin. Example of a generated function (step $5 \mathrm{~b} / 5 \mathrm{c}$ of algorithm):

```
void factorAndOuterProductE35G3(const float *], const float *B, float *H) {
    H[0] = J[3] * B[5] - J[2] * B[6] + J[0] * B[9];
    H[1] = J[6] * B[5] - J[5] * B[6];
    H[2] = J[8] * B[5] - J[7] * B[6] - J[4] * B[9];
    H[3] = J[9] * B[5] - J[5] * B[9];
    H[4] = J[9] * B[6] - J[6] * B[9];
    return B[5] * B[5] + B[6] * B[6] + B[9] * B[9];
}
```

Implementation of the delta product is optimized using code generation and lazy evaluation.

The approach is limited to 6-D due to code size!
Above 6-D a conventional (hand-written) approach can be used (about $2 \times$ slower).

## FastJoin Benchmarks

Benchmark: Compute the join of millions of random blades. Pairs of blades were generated such that they shared a common factor of a random grade.

Used one CPU on a Core2Duo 1.83Ghz. Compiled using VS2005.
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Benchmark: Compute the join of millions of random blades. Pairs of blades were generated such that they shared a common factor of a random grade.

Used one CPU on a Core2Duo 1.83Ghz.
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| $n$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FastJoin (absolute) | 7.4 M | 5.4 M | 3.1 M | 1.8 M |
| FastJoin (relative) | $9.8 \times$ | $8.7 \times$ | $5.8 \times$ | $6.4 \times$ |
| StableFastJoin (absolute) | 7.4 M | 5.2 M | 2.6 M | 1.6 M |
| StableFastJoin (relative) | $9.8 \times$ | $9.1 \times$ | $7.0 \times$ | $6.8 \times$ |
| Gram-Schmidt (relative) | $12 \times$ | $12 \times$ | $7.9 \times$ | $8.0 \times$ |

## The Meet

The meet can be directedly computed by factorizing the dual of the delta product.
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-Expensive full evaluation of delta product is always required. -Generated code is larger.
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