

## Factorization, Join (and Meet) of Blades

Efficient algorithms for factorization of blades and and computing the join of blades.

Daniel Fontijne University of Amsterdam fontijne@science.uva.nl



**Motivation** 

### UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### Blade factorization: $\mathbf{B}_k = \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_k$ .



### Blade factorization: $\mathbf{B}_k = \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_k$ .

Applications of blade factorization:

- Conversion to other (LA-compatible) representations.
- As a building block of other algorithms.



Blade factorization:  $\mathbf{B}_k = \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_k$ .

Applications of blade factorization:

- Conversion to other (LA-compatible) representations.
- As a building block of other algorithms.

The join:  $\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}$  is the union of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ .



Blade factorization:  $\mathbf{B}_k = \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_k$ .

Applications of blade factorization:

- Conversion to other (LA-compatible) representations.
- As a building block of other algorithms.

The join:  $\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}$  is the union of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ .

Applications of the join:

- True union of subspaces.
- Computing the meet.

In my implementation the join is interwoven with factorization, so factorization must be discussed first.



The algorithms in this talk are based on the *additive presentation*. Blades are represented as a sum of basis blades.

Example of basis for 3-D space:

$$\{\underbrace{1}_{grade \ 0}, \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3}_{grade \ 1}, \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3}_{grade \ 2}, \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3}_{grade \ 3}\}.$$



Suppose our input blade is:

 $\mathbf{B} = 1.0 \, \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 - 0.5 \, \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4 + 0.25 \, \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4 - 0.75 \, \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4.$ 

FastFactorization factorizes this to:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_1 &= 1.0 \, \mathbf{e}_1 &+ 0.25 \, \mathbf{e}_4, \\ \mathbf{b}_2 &= 1.0 \, \mathbf{e}_2 &+ 0.5 \, \mathbf{e}_4, \\ \mathbf{b}_3 &= 1.0 \, \mathbf{e}_3 &- 0.75 \, \mathbf{e}_4, \end{aligned}$$

such that  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \mathbf{b}_3$ .



Suppose our input blade is:

 $\mathbf{B} = 1.0 \, \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 - 0.5 \, \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4 + 0.25 \, \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4 - 0.75 \, \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4.$ 

FastFactorization factorizes this to:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_1 &= 1.0 \, \mathbf{e}_1 &+ 0.25 \, \mathbf{e}_4, \\ \mathbf{b}_2 &= 1.0 \, \mathbf{e}_2 &+ 0.5 \, \mathbf{e}_4, \\ \mathbf{b}_3 &= 1.0 \, \mathbf{e}_3 &- 0.75 \, \mathbf{e}_4, \end{aligned}$$

such that  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \mathbf{b}_3$ .

The coordinates of the factors are  $\pm$  the coordinates of the input blade! How does this work?



## **Basic Factorization Algorithm**

### Universiteit van Amsterdam

Algorithm  $Factorization(\mathbf{B})$ :



### Algorithm $Factorization(\mathbf{B})$ :

1. Find the largest basis blade **F** in the representation of **B**. I.e.,  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{e}_k$ .



## Algorithm $Factorization(\mathbf{B})$ :

- 1. Find the largest basis blade **F** in the representation of **B**. I.e.,  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{e}_k$ .
- 2. Project the basis vectors of **F** onto **B**. Use orthogonal projection:  $\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{e}_i | \mathbf{B}) | \mathbf{B}^{-1}$ . The  $\mathbf{b}_i$  will be independent.



## Algorithm $Factorization(\mathbf{B})$ :

- 1. Find the largest basis blade **F** in the representation of **B**. I.e.,  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{e}_k$ .
- 2. Project the basis vectors of **F** onto **B**. Use orthogonal projection:  $\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{e}_i | \mathbf{B}) | \mathbf{B}^{-1}$ . The  $\mathbf{b}_i$  will be independent.
- 3. Compute the scale  $\beta$  such that  $\mathbf{B} = \beta \mathbf{b}_i \wedge \mathbf{b}_j \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_k$ .



## Algorithm $Factorization(\mathbf{B})$ :

- 1. Find the largest basis blade **F** in the representation of **B**. I.e.,  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{e}_k$ .
- 2. Project the basis vectors of  $\mathbf{F}$  onto  $\mathbf{B}$ . Use orthogonal projection:  $\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{e}_i | \mathbf{B}) | \mathbf{B}^{-1}$ . The  $\mathbf{b}_i$  will be independent.
- 3. Compute the scale  $\beta$  such that  $\mathbf{B} = \beta \mathbf{b}_i \wedge \mathbf{b}_j \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_k$ .

This works but is a bit slow (in our implementation,  $50 \times$  to  $100 \times$  slower than a simple bilinear outer product).  $\rightarrow$ The projection is expensive!



## **Orthogonal Projection Shortcut**

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM





## **Orthogonal Projection Shortcut**





Instead of doing a true projection  $\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{e}_i | \mathbf{B}) | \mathbf{B}^{-1}$ , we do a 'pseudo projection'  $\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{e}_i | \mathbf{F}) | \mathbf{B}^{-1}$ .

The pseudo projection is computationally cheap because it amounts to simply selecting coordinates from **B**.



## **FastFactorization Algorithm**

### UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

Algorithm  $FastFactorization(\mathbf{B})$ :



### Algorithm $FastFactorization(\mathbf{B})$ :

Let **B** be a k-blade, with 1 < k < n. The algorithm computes a factorization  $\mathbf{B} = \beta \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_k$ , where  $\beta$  is a scalar:



## $\label{eq:algorithm} Algorithm \ FastFactorization(B):$

Let **B** be a k-blade, with 1 < k < n. The algorithm computes a factorization  $\mathbf{B} = \beta \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_k$ , where  $\beta$  is a scalar:

1. Find the basis blade  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{f}_1 \wedge \mathbf{f}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{f}_k$  to which the absolute largest coordinate of  $\mathbf{B}$  refers. The  $\mathbf{f}_i$  are basis vectors. Let  $\beta$  be the coordinate that refers to  $\mathbf{F}$ .



UNIVERSITEIT

# AMSTERDAM Algorithm FastFactorization(B):

Let **B** be a *k*-blade, with 1 < k < n. The algorithm computes a factorization  $\mathbf{B} = \beta \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_k$ , where  $\beta$  is a scalar:

- 1. Find the basis blade  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{f}_1 \wedge \mathbf{f}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{f}_k$  to which the absolute largest coordinate of  $\mathbf{B}$  refers. The  $\mathbf{f}_i$  are basis vectors. Let  $\beta$  be the coordinate that refers to  $\mathbf{F}$ .
- 2. Compute  $\mathbf{B}_s = \mathbf{B}/\beta$ .



## Algorithm $FastFactorization(\mathbf{B})$ :

Let **B** be a *k*-blade, with 1 < k < n. The algorithm computes a factorization  $\mathbf{B} = \beta \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_k$ , where  $\beta$  is a scalar:

- 1. Find the basis blade  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{f}_1 \wedge \mathbf{f}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{f}_k$  to which the absolute largest coordinate of  $\mathbf{B}$  refers. The  $\mathbf{f}_i$  are basis vectors. Let  $\beta$  be the coordinate that refers to  $\mathbf{F}$ .
- 2. Compute  $\mathbf{B}_s = \mathbf{B}/\beta$ .
- 3. For each  $\mathbf{f}_i$  compute:  $\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{f}_i \rfloor \mathbf{F}^{-1}) \rfloor \mathbf{B}_s$ .



UNIVERSITEIT

# AMSTERDAM Algorithm FastFactorization(B):

Let **B** be a *k*-blade, with 1 < k < n. The algorithm computes a factorization  $\mathbf{B} = \beta \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{b}_k$ , where  $\beta$  is a scalar:

- 1. Find the basis blade  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{f}_1 \wedge \mathbf{f}_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbf{f}_k$  to which the absolute largest coordinate of  $\mathbf{B}$  refers. The  $\mathbf{f}_i$  are basis vectors. Let  $\beta$  be the coordinate that refers to  $\mathbf{F}$ .
- 2. Compute  $\mathbf{B}_s = \mathbf{B}/\beta$ .
- 3. For each  $\mathbf{f}_i$  compute:  $\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{f}_i \rfloor \mathbf{F}^{-1}) \rfloor \mathbf{B}_s$ .

Because the k vectors  $\mathbf{b}_i$  are linearly independent and all contained in  $\mathbf{B}$ , they must form a factorization of  $\mathbf{B}_s$ .



(The full proof in the paper). Again, suppose our input blade is:

 $\mathbf{B} = 1.0 \, \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 - 0.5 \, \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4 + 0.25 \, \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4 - 0.75 \, \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4.$ 

Then  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3$ , and the factors are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_1 &= 1.0 \, \mathbf{e}_1 &+ 0.25 \, \mathbf{e}_4, \\ \mathbf{b}_2 &= 1.0 \, \mathbf{e}_2 &+ 0.5 \, \mathbf{e}_4, \\ \mathbf{b}_3 &= 1.0 \, \mathbf{e}_3 &- 0.75 \, \mathbf{e}_4. \end{aligned}$$

The diagonal typesetting of  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$  should make it obvious that the  $b_i$  are linearly independent.



<sup>▲</sup> We used code generation to implement FastFactorization.

One function was generated for each valid combination of basis blade and grade.

Example of a generated function:

```
void factorE234grade3(const float *B, float **b) {
    b[2][0] = B[0];
    b[1][0] = -B[1];
    b[0][0] = B[2];
    b[0][1] = b[1][2] = b[2][3] = B[3];
    b[0][1] = b[6];
    b[1][4] = B[6];
    b[1][4] = B[8];
    b[0][4] = B[9];
    b[0][2] = b[0][3] = b[1][1] = b[1][3] = b[2][1] = b[2][2] = 0.0f;
}
```



The full FastFactorization implementation amounts to:

- Filter out trivial special cases (hand written).
- Find largest coordinate / basis blade (hand written).
- Call the appropriate factorization function (generated) via a lookup table .



**Benchmark:** Factorize millions of random blades.

Used one CPU on a Core2Duo 1.83Ghz. Compiled using VS2005.

n	3	4	5	6
factorizations per second	15M	9.2M	5.2M	2.8M
relative to O.P.	5.1×	5.1×	$3.4 \times$	$3.8 \times$



## The Join (and the Meet)

### UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### The join $\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}$ is the union of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ .

The join is a non-linear product, for example in general  $A \cup (B + C) \neq A \cup B + A \cup C$ .



### The join $A \cup B$ is the union of A and B.

The join is a non-linear product, for example in general  $A \cup (B + C) \neq A \cup B + A \cup C$ .

The meet  $A \cap B$  can be (most?) efficiently computed from the join using  $A \cap B = (B \rfloor (A \cup B)^{-1}) \rfloor A$ .



## The Join, Meet and Delta Product Illustrated

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

$$A = a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge c$$
$$B = c \wedge b_1$$











## **The FastJoin Algorithm**

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### Algorithm FastJoin $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon)$ :

1. Filter out trivial cases.



- 1. Filter out trivial cases.
- 2. Swap A and B such that  $grade(A) \ge grade(B)$ .



- 1. Filter out trivial cases.
- 2. Swap A and B such that  $grade(A) \ge grade(B)$ .
- 3. Set  $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow \text{unit}(\mathbf{A})$ .



- 1. Filter out trivial cases.
- 2. Swap A and B such that  $grade(A) \ge grade(B)$ .
- 3. Set  $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow \text{unit}(\mathbf{A})$ .
- 4. Find the largest basis blade term F in B.



- 1. Filter out trivial cases.
- 2. Swap A and B such that  $grade(A) \ge grade(B)$ .
- 3. Set  $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow \text{unit}(\mathbf{A})$ .
- 4. Find the largest basis blade term F in B.
- 5. While  $grade(\mathbf{J}) \neq n$  and not all basis vectors  $\mathbf{f}_i$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  have been tried:



Algorithm FastJoin $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon)$ :

- 1. Filter out trivial cases.
- 2. Swap A and B such that  $grade(A) \ge grade(B)$ .
- 3. Set  $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow \text{unit}(\mathbf{A})$ .
- 4. Find the largest basis blade term F in B.
- 5. While  $grade(\mathbf{J}) \neq n$  and not all basis vectors  $\mathbf{f}_i$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  have been tried:

(a) Take basis vector  $f_i$  in F which has not been tried yet.



- 1. Filter out trivial cases.
- 2. Swap A and B such that  $grade(A) \ge grade(B)$ .
- 3. Set  $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow \text{unit}(\mathbf{A})$ .
- 4. Find the largest basis blade term F in B.
- 5. While  $grade(\mathbf{J}) \neq n$  and not all basis vectors  $\mathbf{f}_i$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  have been tried:
  - (a) Take basis vector  $f_i$  in F which has not been tried yet.
  - (b) Compute  $\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{f}_i \rfloor \mathbf{F}^{-1}) \rfloor \mathbf{B}$ .



- 1. Filter out trivial cases.
- 2. Swap A and B such that  $grade(A) \ge grade(B)$ .
- 3. Set  $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow \text{unit}(\mathbf{A})$ .
- 4. Find the largest basis blade term F in B.
- 5. While  $grade(\mathbf{J}) \neq n$  and not all basis vectors  $\mathbf{f}_i$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  have been tried:
  - (a) Take basis vector  $f_i$  in F which has not been tried yet.
  - (b) Compute  $\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{f}_i \rfloor \mathbf{F}^{-1}) \rfloor \mathbf{B}$ .
  - (c) Compute  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} \wedge \operatorname{unit}(\mathbf{b}_i)$ .



- 1. Filter out trivial cases.
- 2. Swap A and B such that  $grade(A) \ge grade(B)$ .
- 3. Set  $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow \text{unit}(\mathbf{A})$ .
- 4. Find the largest basis blade term F in B.
- 5. While  $grade(\mathbf{J}) \neq n$  and not all basis vectors  $\mathbf{f}_i$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  have been tried:
  - (a) Take basis vector  $f_i$  in F which has not been tried yet.
  - (b) Compute  $\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{f}_i | \mathbf{F}^{-1}) | \mathbf{B}$ .
  - (c) Compute  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} \wedge \operatorname{unit}(\mathbf{b}_i)$ .
  - (d) If  $(||\mathbf{H}|| \ge \epsilon)$  set  $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow unit(\mathbf{H})$ .



- 1. Filter out trivial cases.
- 2. Swap A and B such that  $grade(A) \ge grade(B)$ .
- 3. Set  $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow \text{unit}(\mathbf{A})$ .
- 4. Find the largest basis blade term F in B.
- 5. While  $grade(\mathbf{J}) \neq n$  and not all basis vectors  $\mathbf{f}_i$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  have been tried:
  - (a) Take basis vector  $f_i$  in F which has not been tried yet.
  - (b) Compute  $\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{f}_i | \mathbf{F}^{-1}) | \mathbf{B}$ .
  - (c) Compute  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} \wedge \operatorname{unit}(\mathbf{b}_i)$ .
  - (d) If  $(||\mathbf{H}|| \ge \epsilon)$  set  $\mathbf{J} \leftarrow unit(\mathbf{H})$ .
- 6. Return J.



## **Limitations of the FastJoin Algorithm**

### UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

Limitations of the FastJoin algorithm:

• Grade stability.



## **Limitations of the FastJoin Algorithm**

### Universiteit van Amsterdam

### Limitations of the FastJoin algorithm:

- Grade stability.
- Numerical stability.



<sup>4</sup> Limitations of the FastJoin algorithm:

- Grade stability.
- Numerical stability.

The StableFastJoin algorithm (next slide) solves both problems.



Limitations of the FastJoin algorithm:

- Grade stability.
- Numerical stability.

The StableFastJoin algorithm (next slide) solves both problems.

The delta product  $\Delta$  (geometric symmetric difference) is used:

$$\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}) = \frac{\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{B}) + \operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A}\Delta\mathbf{B})}{2}$$



Algorithm StableFastJoin( $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon, \delta$ ):

Start with steps 1-5 of  $FastJoin(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon)$ .



Algorithm StableFastJoin(A, B, ε, δ):
Start with steps 1-5 of FastJoin(A, B, ε).
6. If (grade(J) = n) or (grade(J) = grade(A) + grade(B)), return J. Otherwise:



Algorithm StableFastJoin(A, B, ε, δ):
Start with steps 1-5 of FastJoin(A, B, ε).
6 If (grade(I) - m)

- 6. If  $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J}) = n)$ or  $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J}) = \operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{B}))$ , return J. Otherwise:
- 7. Compute  $grade(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B})$  using the delta product.



UNIVERSITEIT VAN

## AMSTERDAM Algorithm StableFastJoin $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon, \delta)$ :

Start with steps 1-5 of  $FastJoin(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon)$ .

- 6. If  $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J}) = n)$ or  $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J}) = \operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{B}))$ , return J. Otherwise:
- 7. Compute  $grade(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B})$  using the delta product.
- 8. While  $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J}) < \operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}))$ 
  - (a) For all valid *i*, compute b<sub>i</sub> = (f<sub>i</sub> | F<sup>-1</sup>) |B. Set b<sub>m</sub> to that b<sub>i</sub> which leads to the largest ||J ∧ b<sub>i</sub>||.
    (b) Update J ← J ∧ b<sub>m</sub>.



UNIVERSITEIT VAN

## AMSTERDAM Algorithm StableFastJoin $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon, \delta)$ :

Start with steps 1-5 of  $FastJoin(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \epsilon)$ .

- 6. If  $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J}) = n)$ or  $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J}) = \operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{B}))$ , return J. Otherwise:
- 7. Compute  $grade(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B})$  using the delta product.
- 8. While  $(\operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{J}) < \operatorname{grade}(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}))$ 
  - (a) For all valid *i*, compute b<sub>i</sub> = (f<sub>i</sub> | F<sup>-1</sup>) |B. Set b<sub>m</sub> to that b<sub>i</sub> which leads to the largest ||J ∧ b<sub>i</sub>||.
    (b) Update J ← J ∧ b<sub>m</sub>.

9. Return J.



```
Code generation is used to generate the core of FastJoin.
Example of a generated function (step 5b/5c of algorithm):
```

```
void factorAndOuterProductE35G3(const float *J, const float *B, float *H) {
    H[0] = J[3] * B[5] - J[2] * B[6] + J[0] * B[9];
    H[1] = J[6] * B[5] - J[5] * B[6];
    H[2] = J[8] * B[5] - J[7] * B[6] - J[4] * B[9];
    H[3] = J[9] * B[5] - J[5] * B[9];
    H[4] = J[9] * B[6] - J[6] * B[9];
    return B[5] * B[5] + B[6] * B[6] + B[9] * B[9];
}
```



UNIVERSITEIT

## AMSTERDAM Code generation is used to generate the core of FastJoin. Example of a generated function (step 5b/5c of algorithm):

```
void factorAndOuterProductE35G3(const float *J, const float *B, float *H) {
    H[0] = J[3] * B[5] - J[2] * B[6] + J[0] * B[9];
    H[1] = J[6] * B[5] - J[5] * B[6];
    H[2] = J[8] * B[5] - J[7] * B[6] - J[4] * B[9];
    H[3] = J[9] * B[5] - J[5] * B[9];
    H[4] = J[9] * B[6] - J[6] * B[9];
    return B[5] * B[5] + B[6] * B[6] + B[9] * B[9];
}
```

Implementation of the delta product is optimized using code generation and lazy evaluation.



UNIVERSITEIT

## AMSTERDAM Code generation is used to generate the core of FastJoin. Example of a generated function (step 5b/5c of algorithm):

```
void factorAndOuterProductE35G3(const float *J, const float *B, float *H) {
    H[0] = J[3] * B[5] - J[2] * B[6] + J[0] * B[9];
    H[1] = J[6] * B[5] - J[5] * B[6];
    H[2] = J[8] * B[5] - J[7] * B[6] - J[4] * B[9];
    H[3] = J[9] * B[5] - J[5] * B[9];
    H[4] = J[9] * B[6] - J[6] * B[9];
    return B[5] * B[5] + B[6] * B[6] + B[9] * B[9];
}
```

Implementation of the delta product is optimized using code generation and lazy evaluation.

The approach is limited to 6-D due to code size! Above 6-D a conventional (hand-written) approach can be used (about  $2 \times$  slower).



Benchmark: Compute the join of millions of random blades. Pairs of blades were generated such that they shared a common factor of a random grade.

Used one CPU on a Core2Duo 1.83Ghz. Compiled using VS2005.



Benchmark: Compute the join of millions of random blades. Pairs of blades were generated such that they shared a common factor of a random grade.

Used one CPU on a Core2Duo 1.83Ghz. Compiled using VS2005.

n	3	4	5	6
FastJoin (absolute)	7.4M	5.4M	3.1M	1.8M
FastJoin (relative)	9.8×	8.7  imes	5.8  imes	6.4×
StableFastJoin (absolute)	7.4M	5.2M	2.6M	1.6M
StableFastJoin (relative)	9.8×	9.1×	7.0 imes	6.8  imes
Gram-Schmidt (relative)	$12\times$	$12 \times$	7.9  imes	8.0 imes



**The Meet** 

### Universiteit van Amsterdam

The meet can be directedly computed by factorizing the dual of the delta product.



**The Meet** 

### Universiteit van Amsterdam

The meet can be directedly computed by factorizing the dual of the delta product.

### But:

-Expensive full evaluation of delta product is always required. -Generated code is larger.



## **Discussion / Summary**

### UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

• Fastest possible factorization algorithm?



- Fastest possible factorization algorithm?
- The join  $10 \times$  faster and still numerically stable. Still some possibility for improvement.



- Fastest possible factorization algorithm?
- The join  $10 \times$  faster and still numerically stable. Still some possibility for improvement.