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Abstract—Close reading is an important research method to analyze and interpret text in traditional humanities research. In the last decades, a huge amount of historical texts have been made available due to large scale digitization projects and facilitated distant reading methods. In the last decade, a number of visualization techniques were developed that support distant reading tasks as they aid to explore large text collections. But, less work has been done to improve the close reading capabilities of humanities scholars, and the majority of existing techniques are either advanced text viewers highlighting textual features, or they are specific to particular research tasks. We propose the close reading tool AnnotateVis that supports the annotation of text fragments with various media types (audio, video, images, etc.). In addition, a user can perform various text analysis methods for a desired text snippet, and the results can be annotated in the form of an interactive visualization. To illustrate the utility of AnnotateVis, we provide two close reading scenarios.

Index Terms—Close Reading, Annotation tool, Distant Reading

1 INTRODUCTION

Textual analysis is a fundamental practice in different disciplines of humanities research to analyze historical texts and narratives. Since the early twentieth century, Close Reading has been one of the significant research methods to analyze text in traditional humanities research mainly literary criticism [15]. Close reading has a long tradition. It goes back to Aristotle who close read the works of Plato [21]. A definition of close reading is given by Nancy Boyles [6]: Essentially, close reading means reading to uncover layers of meaning that lead to deep comprehension. Although the close reading is steered by the scholars intellectual thought process, there are several techniques, methods and theories to approach it [7]. Close reading constitutes of a range of methodologies e.g.: multiple readings of the text, placing the text in its historical and socio-historical context, looking for patterns (e.g., repetitions, contradictions and similarities), finding inherent topics and occurring relationships, etc. Traditionally, close reading is done on paper. Annotating the text is considered as a strong facilitating method in a close reading process [27].

At the end of the twentieth century, there were great advancements in the field of computing. There was keen interest from humanities scholars and computer scientists to digitize historical texts for preservation purposes and to support collaborative work on those digital editions. This cooperation established the digital humanities field – an intersection of computer science and humanities. A huge amount of historical texts were made available due to large scale digitization projects and provided the scholars working in the digital humanities with a number of fascinating prospects. With huge text sources and corpora available, the digital humanities revolutionized textual analysis methodologies in comparison to classical methods. Instead of focusing on a single piece of text, there was a growing desire to explore this sea of historical texts and discover trends and outliers. A major boost to this thought was given by Moretti, when he pioneered the term Distant Reading [24]. The idea of distant reading was put forth by Moretti from the fact that there are just too many texts to be seriously read and studied. In our survey [17] we define distant reading as in contrast to close reading as follows: While close reading retains the ability to read the source text without dissolving its structure, distant reading does the exact opposite. It aims to generate an abstract view by shifting from observing textual content to visualizing global features of a single or of multiple text(s). In his book, Moretti showed different illustrations in form of graphs, maps and trees - thereby advocating the use of visualizations for distant reading tasks. After Moretti published his idea, a number of visualization techniques were developed in the context of digital humanities, as summarized in our survey [17]. We were also able to show that the method of distant reading was gradually embraced by the digital humanities community and the majority of developed visualization techniques aided the exploration of large text collections. We further observed that less work has been done to improve the close reading capabilities of humanities scholars. The majority of existing close reading techniques acted merely as advanced text viewers highlighting textual features. On the other hand, there are close reading tools, e.g., TRA Viz [18], Poem Viewer [1] or Poemage [22], that are pertinent to a particular research task.

Even after rapid developments in distant reading, our survey showed that close reading still remains a prior task in humanities research. Even in digital humanities projects that aimed to develop a distant reading technique in the first place, it was very important for the involved humanities scholars to actually see the underlying text sources, which is a mandatory functionality in order to verify distant reading hypotheses and to build trust in the novel research approach. That visualization can play an important role to support the close reading of texts has already been shown by [13], who proposes so called word scale visualizations and annotates individual words computationally with statistical or geographical information. We propose AnnotateVis, an enhanced close reading tool that rather supports the traditional close reading workflow of humanities scholars digitally, i.e., by being able to annotate and highlight text fragments using various methods. In addition, we provide various visual analysis methods for desired text snippets in the close reading environment. The design of the tool is inspired by mind maps, putting the text in the center of the screen and arranging user annotations strategically around it to support the scholars individual intellectual thought process.

In the section 2, we illustrate the traditional close reading approach using annotations and observe existing tools that support annotating digital texts. In addition, we discuss how the close reading experience can be enhanced by providing various distant reading views on the text. In section 3, we discuss the design and features of AnnotateVis, and in section 4, we provide two usage scenarios that illustrate the benefit of our approach.

2 RELATED WORK

Close reading is a process where the humanities scholar carefully reads and interprets a text. In order to facilitate the understanding of a text fragment, annotating the text to highlight as well as to record
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Fig. 2. Traditional close reading on paper [20]

thoughts, observations, findings and conclusions are key features in a close reading process. In a traditional close reading on paper, the scholar uses the margins alongside the text for annotation purposes. But as the margin space is naturally limited, not all observations can be put around the text. So, annotations may become cluttered and confusing for the reader, especially, when obsolete ideas are struck through. Despite its disadvantages, annotating on paper is still quite popular as it benefits the scholars to record observations about the hypothesis and all these changes reappear in front of the scholars eyes as soon as he re-reads the text passage. Fig. 2 shows a traditional close reading by use of annotations. In this example, various annotation methods were used by the scholar to annotate various features of a text passage in Charles Dickens’ David Copperfield, e.g., the usage of different colors (blue, red, green) and underlining styles (straight or wavy lines, circles).

The availability of digital texts has further awakened the interest of humanities scholars in collaboratively close reading the same texts. There are several annotation tools for such a purpose, such as eMargin [20], Hypothes.is [5], NB [31] and Pundit [14]. These tools are beneficial for collaborative research and classroom environments as they provide an excellent paradigm to share thoughts, as well as find collective answers. To avoid clutter, these tools work with pop-up windows that are only shown on demand. Digital Ink Annotations systems [2, 28, 30] also support annotating text, but their use is only limited to pen-based computing devices such as tablets. The systems are designed to work well on smaller screens, and the adaption to larger screens is not appropriately implemented.

That close reading tasks can also be assisted via distant reading tools has been shown in various works. For example, parallel coordinates, a heatmap and a dot plot are used to analyze the variance of a selected text passage from different German translations of Shakespeares Othello [12]. Heat maps are appropriate visualizations to illustrate the distribution of specific phrases or annotations in a corpus [3, 25]. Voyant tools allow the user to perform basic text mining functions with selected word statistics shown in linked views [29].

3 ANNOTATEVIS

As evident from the techniques described in the previous section, some work has been done to support the analysis of single texts. On the one hand, web-based annotation tools highlight important text features and support collaborative discussions of close readings with others. Similarly, text analysis tools provide specific distant reading perspectives. We introduce AnnotateVis, a web-based close reading environment that combines well adopted features of existing tools, but specifically allows humanities scholars annotating a text digitally like she is trained: in a traditional close reading fashion. For a profound text interpretation, we provide a number of text analysis methods coupled with various distant reading visualizations to illustrate the results. As our dedicated user group is not used to work with complex tools (reference: Gibbs), we tried to design AnnotateVis as easily comprehensible and intuitive as possible.

3.1 Design and features

The design of AnnotateVis is inspired by mind maps and is explained in our design paper [10]. A mind map [9] is a diagram that organizes information around a central subject. It has a radial structure with the main theme represented as a central node. All ideas and thoughts to the discussed theme branch out from this central node. Example mind map are shown in Fig. 1. Line thickness, text width or the coloring of different branches in a mind map encode further information about the observed topic. Mind maps have been used in many fashions, e.g., for brainstorming, teaching, interactive presentations and so on. In a study conducted by Nesbit et al., mind maps were found to be useful and more effective than summaries or essays [26]. Mind mapping has also been successfully applied in classroom environments [8]. We observed that traditionally annotating on paper, which is used for close reading, resembles the mind mapping process. In the close reading process, the observed text is the main theme and annotations act as ideas, facts and observations about certain fragments of the analyzed text. In the case...
CHAPTER 2: OBSERVE

The first objects that assume a distinct presence before me, as I look back into, into the blank of my infancy, are my mother with her pretty hair and youthful shape: and Peggy with no shape at all, and eyes so dark that you seem to darken their whole neighborhood in her face, and cheeks and arms so hard and red that I wonder the birds didn’t pick her in preference to apples.

Before I can remember these two at a little distance apart, dwarfed to my sight by stooping down or kneeling on the floor, and I going unwillingly from the one to the other. I have an impression on my mind which I cannot distinguish from actual remembrance: of the touch of Peggy’s forefinger as she used to hold it out to me, and of its being brushed by needlework, like a pocket opening proper.

This may be fancy, though I think the memory of most of us can par further back into such times than many of us suppose: just as I believe the power of observation in numbers of very young children to be quite wonderful for its cleanness and accuracy. Indeed, I think that most grown men who are remarkable in this respect, may with greater propriety be said not to have lost the faculty, than to have acquired it; the nearer, as I generally observe such men. to retain a certain freshness, and perspicacity, and capacity of being pleased, which are also an inheritance they have preserved from their childhood.

I might have a mystery that I am ‘unveiling’ in mapping to my sight thus, that it brings me to remark that I hold these conclusions in part upon my own experience of myself, and if it should appear from anything I may set down in this narrative that I was a child of close observation, or that as a man I have a strong memory of my childhood, I substantially lay claim to both of those characteristics.

Looking back, as I was seeing, into the blank of my infancy, the first object I can remember, was standing out by themselves from a confused multitude — my mother and Peggy. What else do I remember? Let me see.

There comes out of the clout, our house — not here to me: but quite familiar in its genuine reminiscence, on the ground floor in Peggy’s kitchen, opening into a back yard, with a pigeon house on a pole, in the center, without any pigeons in it — a great déploiement in a corner, without any dog; and a quantity of books that look terribly old to me — walking about in a morninging and fornicating manner. There is one cloth which gets upon a post to draw-and, and seems to take particular notice of me as I look at it through the kitchen window, which makes me shiver; he is so fierce of the genee outside the side-gate who come waddling after me with their long-noes stretched out. When I go at night, I dimen at night, as a man enroiled by wild beasts might dimen of lions.

Here is a long passage — what an enormous perspective I make it of! — leading from Peggy’s kitchen to the front door. A dark room opens out of this, and that is a place to run at last. For I don’t know what may amuse these tides and pens and old-noes, when there is nobody in there with a dimly-lit burning, letting a moonlight air come out of the door, in which there is the smell of moth, pickeers, pepper, cider, and coffee, all at one smell. Then there are the two paradoxes: the partner in which we sit at an evening, my mother and I and Peggy — for Peggy is quite our companion, when her work is done and we are alone, and the best partner where we sit at a Sunday; kindly, but not so comfortably. There is something of a dankish air about that room to me. For Peggy has told me, I don’t know when, but apparently ages ago — about my father’s funeral, and the company having their black clothes put on. One Sunday night my mother reads to Peggy and me in there, how Lazarus was raised from the dead, and I am so frightened that they are afterwards obliged to take me out of bed, and show me the quiet charityyard out of the bedroom window, with the dead lying in their graves at rest, before the solemn moon.

There is nothing half so green that I know anywhere, as the grass of that chamber; nothing half so steady in its tree, nothing half so quiet as in its tenses. The dews are feeling there, when I knock early, early in the morning, in my little bed in a dore within my mother’s room, in look out of it; and I see the red light shining on the sun-dial, and think within myself, ‘Is the sun-dial glad, I wonder, that it can tell the time again?’

Fig. 3. AnnotateVis screenshot: User close reading text snippet from David Copperfield

of common mind maps, the central node does not depict any meaning. Instead, the mind map usage in a close reading process differs as the text in question conveys a lot of information. The prominent features of AnnotateVis are as follows:

Integrated environment AnnotateVis provides an integrated environment where the scholar can annotate and perform text analyses in multifarious ways. In addition to annotating text fragments as also provided by other web annotation tools, the scholar can additionally create annotations in the form of media such as audio, video and pictures, which support the scholars close reading process. The scholar can perform a number of text analyses using distant reading tools such as tag clouds, charts, maps, timelines etc. and place these annotations as interactive visualizations. All annotations are placed in the margins alongside the text.

Free layout Following the design strategy of mind maps, AnnotateVis provides a free layout for the placement of annotations. The scholar can decide the best suited position for an annotation according to its importance and its proximity to the text. As opposed to the traditional close reading, which results in a final setting of annotations, the margins alongside the digital text can be flexibly annotated, and the layout can be changed interactively.

Distant reading techniques AnnotateVis offers a multitude of distant reading tools. Thereby, the scholar can select an appropriate visualization technique in accordance to the observed text fragment and the research question at hand. This is an important feature as closed reading is a customizable process.

Annotation scope Another important feature of AnnotateVis is the ability of performing text analyses at various text hierarchy levels. Depending on the research question as well we the selected text analysis method, the scholar can select a text fragment to be examined, e.g., the current page, a paragraph, a book chapter, or the entire text.

Workflow integration As mentioned before, AnnotateVis is designed the way that it is easy for humanities scholars to integrate a close reading into their existing research workflows. The scholar can save the results and reload them for further analysis. This also provides the opportunity to share the individual close reading with other scholars, and supports the collaborative work essential for (digital) humanities scholars.
In this section, we explain the capabilities of AnnotateVis with two usage scenarios. In Fig. 2, a text excerpt from Charles Dickens David Copperfield is close read by a humanities scholar. We see how the scholar annotated observations, assertions and assumptions in the close reading process. Fig. 3 shows the same portion of text being close read in AnnotateVis. The user selected text fragments annotated with additional information to the observed subject. The color of the annotated text and the borders of the annotation boxes are used as the visual identifiers for the user. Below, we list the users annotations of text fragments, which are shown in Fig. 3.

- In the top left we see a video annotation (light green), which embeds a YouTube source containing an audio recording of chapter 2.
- The user adds a map showing different places where David lived in his childhood. The annotation border and the term childhood in the text are marked in blue.
- In the traditional close reading scenario explained in Fig. 2, the scholar made the assumption if memory equals observation. In AnnotateVis, the user applied TagPies [16] to further investigate this assumption. As shown in the top right corner of Fig. 3 in purple, the user created three different TagPies for the terms memory, observe and remember. Their co-occurrences are compared on different text hierarchy levels: the currently analyzed chapter 2, a subset of chapters, and the entire book.
- The user selected a paragraph of the text, and annotated his interpretation in the form of digital text annotation (grey).
- To illustrate the phrase here is our pew at a church, the user annotates an image (marked in green) taken from a printed edition.
- On the right bottom left, the user annotated the term Peggotty in cyan color. Firstly, the user wrote his observations about Peggotty’s character. Secondly, he put an image of Peggotty found in the web. Thirdly, the user added an interactive annotation in form of concordance plot to see how Peggotty was mentioned in the concerning chapter 2.

In the second scenario, the user close read a text fragment of Edward Gibbon’s History of the decline and fall of the Roman Empire. A screenshot of the close reading process in AnnotateVis is shown in Fig. 4. The user analyzed of the texts concept of the Roman Empire. At first, he added two maps of the Western and Eastern Roman Empire of envisage its temporal change of geographical dimension. Furthermore, the user analyzed the usage of the placename Rome in the book using GeoTemCo [19]. The time graph shows how the word Rome was used throughout the book. Usually, the interactive annotations are placed in a minimized view next to the text, but the user can also enlarge a view (map) for further analysis. Another annotation in light green (top right) shows a timeline that illustrates how the Christian era coincides with other religions. Finally, we see that user annotated images of Emperors Nerva, Trajan and Hadrian.

### Feedback
We discussed the AnnotateVis design and functionality with collaborating humanities scholars. They mentioned that the proposed design resembles the traditional close reading on paper, and that AnnotateVis will help humanities scholars to quickly adapt and integrate this digital close reading methodology into their existing workflows. This could help lower the fear of scholars in classical studies who are reluctant to use computers in their traditional workflows. We also presented the proposed design of AnnotateVis at the Digital Humanities conference 2016 [10] and discussed the design with various humanities scholars, who appreciated our novel close reading methodology, stating that there is a need for a close reading tool that supports distant reading visualizations. We also got positive feedback concerning the placement strategy of annotations. One of the scholars remarked that it would benefit them to group the annotations as they desire, instead of a tool forcing them to use a predefined layout.

### Future Work
At the moment, AnnotateVis provides basic text analysis tasks supported by distant reading visualizations. In the future, we intend adding information extraction techniques such as Named Entity recognition, Relationship Extraction or Terminology extraction, so that the text analysis base can be broadened and more distant reading visualizations can be added to the existing toolbox to further facilitate the humanities scholars close reading experience. At the moment, the placement of annotations is user dependent. We plan to improve the layout strategy by suggesting an optimal position for an annotation. In addition, we intend to perform a user study to evaluate the utility of AnnotateVis to support scholars in close reading, and to spot necessary improvements.
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