TagSpheres: Visualizing Hierarchical Relations with Tag Clouds
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Abstract: Tag clouds are widely applied, popular visualization techniques as they illustrate summaries of textual data in an intuitive, lucid manner. Many layout algorithms for tag clouds have been developed in the recent years, but none of these approaches is designed to reflect the notion of hierarchical distance. For that purpose, we introduce a novel tag cloud layout called TagSpheres. By arranging tags on various hierarchy levels and applying appropriate colors, the importance of individual tags to the observed topic gets assessable. To explore relationships among various hierarchy levels, we aim to place related tags closely. Three usage scenarios from the digital humanities, sports and aviation, and an evaluation with humanities scholars exemplify the applicability and point out the benefit of TagSpheres.

1 INTRODUCTION

The usage of tag clouds to visualize textual data is a relatively novel technique, which was rarely applied in the past century. In 1976, Stanley Milgram was one of the first scholars who generated a tag cloud to illustrate the mental map of Paris, for which he conducted a psychological study with inhabitants of Paris, aiming to analyze their mental representation of the city (Milgram and Jodelet, 1976). In 1992, a German edition of “Mille Plateaux”, written by the French philosopher Gilles Deleuze, appeared with a tag cloud printed on the cover to summarize the book’s content (Deleuze and Guattari, 1992). This idea to present a visual summary of textual data can be seen as the primary purpose of tag clouds (Sinclair and Cardew-Hall, 2008). But the popularity of tag clouds nowadays is attributable to its frequent usage in the social web community in the 2000s as overviews of website contents. Although there are known theoretical problems concerning the design of tag clouds (Viegas and Wattenberg, 2008), they are generally seen as a popular social component perceived as being fun (Hearst and Rosner, 2008). With the simple idea to encode the frequency of terms to a given topic, tag clouds are intuitive, comprehensible visualizations – and now, widely used metaphors to display summaries of textual data, to support analytical tasks such as the examination of text collections, or even as interfaces for navigation purposes on databases.

In the recent years, the visualization community developed various algorithms to compute effective tag cloud layouts in an informative and readable manner. One of the most popular tools is Wordle (Viegas et al., 2009). It computes compact, intuitive tag clouds and can be generated on the fly using a web-based interface. Although the produced results are very aesthetic, the different used colors do not transfer an information and the final arrangement of tags depends only on the scale, and not on the content of tags or potential relationships among them. Some approaches attend to the matter of visualizing more information than the frequency of terms with tag clouds, most often to compare textual summaries of different data facets with each other.

In this paper, we present the tag cloud design called TagSpheres, which endeavors to effectively visualize hierarchies of textual summaries. The motivation arises from research on philology – humanities scholars wanted to analyze the clause function of desired Latin terms. Querying the large database, the scholars often face a numerous results in the form of text passages. When only plain lists are provided to interact with the results, the analysis of the contexts in which the chosen term was used becomes laborious. To support this task, we provide summaries of text passages in the form of interactive tag clouds that group terms in accordance to their distance to the search term. So, the humanities scholar gets an overview and is able to retrieve text passages of interest on demand.

We designed TagSpheres in a way that various types of text hierarchies can be visualized in an intuitive, comprehensible manner. To emphasize the wide applicability of TagSpheres, we list several examples from the digital humanities, sports and aviation.
2 RELATED WORK

Although tag clouds rather became popular in the social media, research in visualization attended to the matter of developing various layout techniques in the last years. A basic tag cloud layout is a simple list of words placed on multiple lines (Viegas et al., 2007). In such a list, tags are typically ordered by their importance to the observed issue – encoded by variable font size (Murugesan, 2007). An alphabetical order is also often used, but a study on the utility of tag clouds revealed that the alphabetic order is not obvious for the observer (Hearst and Rosner, 2008). Later, more sophisticated tag cloud layout approaches that rather emphasize aesthetics than meaningful orderings were developed. A representative technique is Wordle (Viegas et al., 2009), which produces compact aesthetic layouts with tags in different colors and orientations, but both features do not transfer any additional information. A Worlde showing the most important terms in Edgar Allan Poe’s *The Raven* is given in Figure 1.

Various approaches highlight relationships among tags by forming visual groups. In thematically clustered or semantic tag clouds, the detection of tags belonging to the same topic is supported by placing these tags closely (Lohmann et al., 2009). Traditional, semantic word lists place clustered tags subsequently (Schrammel and Tscheligi, 2014), more sophisticated layout methods often use force directed approaches with semantically close terms attracting each other (Cui et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014). After force directed tag placement, tag cloud layouts can be compressed by removing occurring whitespaces (Wu et al., 2011).

Some methods generate individual tag clouds for each group of related tags, and combine the resultant multiple tag clouds to a single visual unity afterwards. An example is the Star Forest method (Barth et al., 2014), which applies a force directed method to pack multiple tag clouds. Other methods use predefined tag cloud containers, e.g., user-defined polygonal spaces in the plane (Paulovich et al., 2012), polygonal shapes of countries (Nguyen et al., 2011), or Voronoi tessellations (Seifert et al., 2011). For the comparison of the tags of various text documents, a ConcentriCloud divides an elliptical plane into sectors that list the shared main tags of several subsets of the underlying texts (Lohmann et al., 2015). Due to the rather independent computation of the individual tag clouds – which often leads to large whitespaces areas in the final composition step – the above mentioned methods can be seen as sophisticated small multiples. A rather traditional small multiples approach is Words Storms (Castellà and Sutton, 2014) that supports the visual comparison of textual summaries of documents.

Tag clouds also have been used to visualize trends. Parallel Tag Clouds generate alphabetically ordered tag lists as columns for a number of time slices and highlights the temporal evolution of a tag placed in various columns on mouse interaction (Collins et al., 2009). In contrast, SparkClouds attach a graph showing the tag’s evolution over time (Lee et al., 2010). Other approaches overlay time graphs with tags characteristic for certain time ranges (Shi et al., 2010).

Only few approaches generate multifaceted tag cloud layouts in a single, continuous flow that includes the positioning of all tags belonging to various groups. RadCloud visualizes tags belonging to various groups within a shared elliptical area (Burch et al., 2014). In Compare Clouds, tags of two media frames (MSM, Blogs) are comparatively visualized in a single cloud (Diakopoulos et al., 2015). To support
the comparative analysis of multiple tag groups. TagPies are arranged in a pie chart manner (Jänicke et al., 2015a). An example visualizing typical tags for different movie genres is shown in Figure 2.

Although techniques like TagPies or Parallel Tag Clouds are capable of visualizing sequences of tag groups, none of the mentioned approaches endeavors to visually encode generic hierarchical information intuitively in a single, compact, aesthetic tag cloud. TagSpheres – presented in this paper – are designed to fill this gap.

3 DESIGNING TAGSPHERES

The central idea of TagSpheres is the visualization of textual summaries that comprise hierarchical information. This paper provides three usage scenarios that exemplify hierarchies in textual data (see Section 4). An overview of the characteristics of these examples is given in Table 1.

Given \( n \) hierarchy levels \( H_1, \ldots, H_n \), the top hierarchy level \( H_1 \) contains tags representing the focus of interest of a usage scenario. All other tags are divided into \( n - 1 \) groups in dependency on their hierarchical distance according to the observed topic, or tag(s) on \( H_1 \). Each tag \( t \) in TagSpheres has a weight \( w(t) \) reflecting its importance, and an optional predecessor tag \( p(t) \) representing a relationship to a higher hierarchy level.

3.1 Design Decisions

When designing TagSpheres, we use the following, well-established design features for tag clouds:

- **Font size**: Evaluated as the most powerful property (Bateman et al., 2008), font size encodes the weight \( w(t) \) of a tag.
- **Orientation**: As rotated tags are perceived as “unstructured, unattractive, and hardly readable” (Waldner et al., 2013), we do not rotate tags to keep the layout easily explorable.
- **Color**: Being the best choice to distinguish categories (Waldner et al., 2013), various colors are assigned to tags belonging to different hierarchy levels. As TagSpheres encode the distance to a given topic, the usage of a categorical color map is inappropriate. Unfortunately, suitable sequential color maps as provided by the ColorBrewer (Harrower and Brewer, 2003) produce less distinctive colors even for a small number of hierarchy levels, so that adjacent tags belonging to different hierarchy levels are hard to classify. Following the suggestions illustrated in (Ware, 2013), we defined a divergent cold-hot color map using red for the first hierarchy level and blue for tags belonging to the last hierarchy level \( n \). To avoid uneven visual attraction of tags, we only chose saturated colors that are in contrast to the white background. Example color maps for up to eight hierarchy levels are shown in Figure 3(a).

3.2 Layout Algorithm

In preparation, the tags are sorted by increasing hierarchy level, so that all tags within the same hierarchical distance to \( H_1 \) are placed subsequently. The tags of each hierarchy level are ordered by increasing value to ensure that important tags are circularly well distributed.

To avoid large whitespaces, a problem addressed by Seifert (Seifert et al., 2008), our method follows the idea of the Wordle algorithm (Viegas et al., 2009) – permitting overlapping tag bounding boxes if the tag’s letters do not occlude – to determine the positions of tags. So, we obtain compact, uniformly looking, aesthetic tag clouds for the underlying hierarchical, textual data. That TagSpheres remain easily readable, we use a minimal padding between letters of different tags.

As shown in Figure 3(b), we aim to visually compose tags of the same hierarchy level in the form of spheres around the tag cloud origin at \((0,0)\). Initially, we iteratively determine positions for the tags of \( H_1 \) in the central sphere using an archimedean spiral originating from \((0,0)\). An example is given in Figure 4(a). For each tag \( t \) of the remaining hierarchy levels \( H_2, \ldots, H_n \), we also use \((0,0)\) as spiral origin, if \( p(t) \) is not provided (see Figure 4(b)). If \( p(t) \) is defined, we use the predecessor’s position as spiral origin. As a consequence, hierarchically related tags are placed closely and visually compose in the form of rays originating from \((0,0)\) as shown in Figure 7. In contrast to other spiral based tag cloud algorithms, we avoid to cover whitespaces with tags of \( H_i \) within spheres of already processed hierarchy levels \( H_1, \ldots, H_{i-1} \). Dependent on the quadrant in the plane, in which a tag shall be placed, we search for already placed tags intersecting two vectors originating from the dedicated position as illustrated in Figure 3(c). If no intersections are found, we place the tag. This approach coheres all tags of a hierarchy level as a visual unity outside the inner bounds of the previously layouted hierarchy levels’ spheres.
domain | digital humanities (see Section 4.1) | sports (see Section 4.2) | aviation (see Section 4.3)
--- | --- | --- | ---
task | analyzing the clause functions of a search term $T$ | comparing the performances of nations participating the FIFA World Cup | observing all direct flights from an airport or a city
$H_1$ | search term $T$ | FIFA World Cup winners | departure airport/city
$H_2, \ldots, H_n$ | co-occurrences in dependency on the word distance to $T$ | teams knocked out in different tournament stages | direct federal ($H_2$), continental ($H_3$) and worldwide flights ($H_4$)
$n$ | 4 | 6 | 2.4
$w(t)$ | number of (co-)occurrences of $t$ | number of knock-outs in the corresponding tournament stage | inverse distance weighting between departure and arrival airports/cities
$p(t)$ | equally labeled tag of a higher hierarchy level | equally labeled tag of a higher hierarchy level | previously placed tag of the same country/continent
strong tag relations | equally labeled tags | equally labeled tags | departure/arrival airports/cities
weak tag relations | spelling variants | N/A | airports/cities of the same country/continent
action on mouse click | text passages containing the selected tag and $T$ are shown | N/A | redirection to Google Flights showing available flights for the selected connection

Table 1: Characteristics of usage scenarios for TagSpheres.

### 3.3 Limitations

The main objective of the presented layout algorithm is to combine a hierarchical information of textual data with the aesthetics of tag clouds. In contrast to the usual approach to always initialize an archimedean spiral at the tag cloud origin $(0,0)$ when determining the position of a tag, the usage of predecessor tags as spiral origins slightly affects the uniform appearance of the result in some cases. Occasionally, little holes occur, and – dependent on the hierarchical structure of the underlying data – the tag cloud boundaries get distorted.

The proposed hot-cold color map used to visually convey hierarchical distance generates well distinguishable colors when the number of hierarchy levels is small. For a larger number of hierarchies, closely positioned tags of different levels may become visually indistinct, especially when only few tags belong to a certain level.

The current TagSpheres design does not take the distribution of tags throughout different hierarchies into account. In use cases with a steadily increasing or decreasing number of tags per hierarchy level it gets possible, that a considerable proportion of the color maps’ bandwidth gets used for a comparatively small portion of tags.

### 3.4 Interactive Design

Implemented as an OpenSource JavaScript library, TagSpheres can be dynamically embedded into web-based applications. With mouse interaction, we enable the user to detect hierarchically related tags quickly. Hovering a tag highlights strongly and weakly related tags. Strongly related tags are shown using a black font on transparent backgrounds having the hierarchy levels’ assigned color as shown in Figure 7. In contrast, weakly related tags retain their saturated font color, but gray, transparent backgrounds indicate correlations.

TagSpheres provide a configurable tooltip displayed when hovering or clicking a tag to be used, e.g., to list all related tags and their weights. The mouse click function can be further used to link to an external source. Examples are given in Table 1.

### 3.5 Evaluation

TagSpheres are used by humanities scholars of a digital humanities project to analyze the clause functions of search terms (see Section 4.1 for details). In a small evaluation with seven project members, we asked for subjective ratings regarding intuitivity, aesthetics and the utility of TagSpheres for their work. The participants needed to choose a value on a Likert scale from
TagSpheres aesthetics was rated 5.57 on average, and the intuitivity to transmit a notion of hierarchical distance was also assessed as good (6). Especially, the chosen colors “clearly transmit the notion of distance between co-occurrences and the search term.” The readability of tags was justified as 5.14. Participants stated that TagSpheres are “easily understandable” and that “all important co-occurrences of the search term are visible at first glance.” Although related tags are positioned closely, it was not always easy for the humanities scholars to detect similar terms in different hierarchy levels (3). But all participants stated that the provided means of interaction facilitate this task (6) and overall foster the understanding of the visualization and the explorative analysis of results. Finally, the utility of TagSpheres to support the humanities scholars in examining research questions regarding the clause functions of search terms was also rated as good (5.36).

4 USE CASES

TagSpheres are applicable whenever statistics of unstructured text shall be visualized in the form of a tag cloud and a decent hierarchy among the tags exists. This sections illustrates usage scenarios of TagSpheres for text-based data from three different domains: digital humanities, sports and aviation.

4.1 Digital Humanities Scenario

Within the digital humanities project eXchange, historians and classical philologists work with a database containing a large amount of digitized historical texts in Latin and ancient Greek. Usually, humanities scholars pose keyword based search queries and often receive numerous results, which are hard to revise individually. As a consequence, the generation of valuable hypotheses is a laborious, time-consuming process. To facilitate the humanities scholars workflows,
we develop visual interfaces that attempt to steer the analysis of search results into promising directions.

TagPies – developed within the eXChange project – are tag clouds arranged in a pie chart manner that support the comparison of multiple search query results (Jänicke et al., 2015a). Using a TagPie, humanities scholars analyze contextual similarities and differences of the observed terms. Unlike TagPies, TagSpheres provide an additional, hierarchical dimension, which supports approaching a further research interest of the humanities scholars: the analysis and classification of a term’s co-occurrences according to their clause function. For this purpose, the scholars required four-level TagSpheres:

- **H1** The first level contains the search term T.
- **H2** The second level contains all co-occurrences with distance 1 to T.
- **H3** The third level contains all co-occurrences with distance 2 to T.
- **H4** The fourth level contains all co-occurrences with distance 3 to distance n to T.

The font size of T on level 1 encodes how frequent the search term occurs in the underlying text corpus; the font sizes of all other terms reflect the number of co-occurrences with T in dependency on the corresponding distance. On level 4, font sizes are normalized in relation to the distance range n – 2. A tag on hierarchy level i receives a predecessor tag if the corresponding term occurs on one of the previous layers i = 1, . . . , 1.

A use case provided by one of the humanities scholars involved in the eXChange project shall illustrate the utility of TagSpheres to support the classification of a term’s co-occurrences by their clause function. Analyzing the co-occurrences of *morbo* (disease), terms in similar relationships to the given topic were discovered and classified (see Figure 6). In large distances, the humanities scholar found objects containing the results of all national teams ever qualified to comparatively visualize performances in championships. This scenario illustrates how TagSpheres can be used for the FIFA World Cup. We receive the following six-level hierarchy:

- **H1** FIFA World Champions.
- **H2** Second placed national teams.
- **H3** National teams knocked out in the semifinal.
- **H4** National teams knocked out in the quarterfinal.
- **H5** National teams knocked out in the second round (second group stage or last 16).

epilepsy ([*morbo*] comitiali, [*morbo*] sacro) occur.

In this usage scenario, the interaction capabilities of TagSpheres are tailored according to the needs of the humanities scholars. Hovering a tag opens a popup showing the term’s number of occurrences on all hierarchy levels. Additionally, variant spellings or cases of the term are listed with their corresponding frequencies to support the analysis process. An important requirement for the humanities scholars was the discovery of potentially interesting text passages, but they desired a straightforward access to the corresponding text passages containing the search term and the clicked term with the chosen distance. An example for text passages containing the adjacent terms *morbo* and comitiali is shown in Figure 5.

### 4.2 Championship Performances

This scenario illustrates how TagSpheres can be used to comparatively visualize performances in championships. Exemplarily, we processed a dataset containing the results of all national teams ever qualified for the FIFA World Cup. We receive the following six-level hierarchy:

- **H1** FIFA World Champions.
- **H2** Second placed national teams.
- **H3** National teams knocked out in the semifinal.
- **H4** National teams knocked out in the quarterfinal.
- **H5** National teams knocked out in the second round (second group stage or last 16).

![Figure 5: Close reading of text passages containing morbo and comitiali with distance 1.](image)
Figure 6: Morbus example.
\[
G = 6378 \cdot \arccos \left( \sin(lat_d) \cdot \sin(lat_a) + \cos(lat_d) \cdot \cos(lat_a) \cdot \cos(lon_d - lon_a) \right)
\]  

(1)

\(H_6\) National teams knocked out in the (first) group stage.

The nations are used as tags and font size encodes how often a national team partook a championship round without reaching the next level. If a tag for a nation was already placed at a higher hierarchy level, we use the corresponding tag as predecessor.

Figure 7 shows the resultant TagSphere. Especially this scenario illustrates the benefit of using the positions of predecessor tags as spiral origins for successor tags. In most cases, the various tags of a nation are closely positioned. Hovering a tag displays the all-time performance of the corresponding national team for all championship hierarchy levels in a popup. Expectedly, Brazil and Germany achieved very good results, especially in the last championship rounds. In contrast, Italy was often knocked out in the first round, but in case of reaching the semifinal (8x), Italy became FIFA World Champion four times. England and Spain show nearly equal performances. With the same number of appearances (38x) both nations reached the semifinal only twice. Few nations have a 100% success rate in the group stage. Qualified three times for the FIFA World Cup, Senegal always reached the quarterfinals. Most nations, e.g., Sweden and Cameroon, show the expected pattern “the higher the championship round, the smaller the number of appearances”.

Another example is given in Figure 8 that illustrates the success of football clubs ever played in England’s first league. The average rank at the end of the seasons is used to cluster 68 clubs into 17 hierarchy levels, and font size encodes the number of appearances.

4.3 Airport Connectivity

To analyze the federal, continental and worldwide connectivity of airports, we derived a dataset from the OpenFlights database,\(^3\) which provides a list of direct flight connections between around 3,200 airports worldwide. With the selected departure airport \(d\) (or city) on \(H_1\), all other airports (or cities) reachable with a non-stop flight cluster into three further hierarchy levels:

- \(H_2\) airports/cities in the same country as \(d\),
- \(H_3\) airports/cities on the same continent as \(d\), and
- \(H_4\) all other reachable worldwide airports/cities.

As tags we chose either airport names, the provided IATA codes,\(^4\) or the corresponding city names. In this scenario, font size encodes the inverse geographical distance between departure airport \(d = \{lat_d, lon_d\}\) and arrival airport \(a = \{lat_a, lon_a\}\). To keep the deviation to the actual distance as small as possible, we apply the great circle distance \(G\) (Head, 2003), defined by Equation 1. Predecessor tags are used to place airports or cities of the same country or continent closely. For a tag \(t\) to be placed on \(H_3\), we choose the first placed tag with the same associated country as predecessor, if existent; for \(H_4\), we choose the first placed tag with the same associated continent.

Figure 9 shows TagSpheres for non-stop flights from various airports or cities. All examples show that airports/cities of the same countries/continents are placed closely in clusters. For Sydney, no tags are placed on \(H_3\), and for Cagliari, no connections to non-European airports exist. When the user hovers a tag, the corresponding connection and the travel distance are shown in a tooltip. Clicking a tag redirects to Google Flights\(^5\) listing possible flight connections.

5 CONCLUSION

We introduced TagSpheres that arrange tags on several hierarchy levels to transmit the notion of hierarchical distance in tag clouds. We accentuate

---

\(^3\)http://openflights.org/data.html

\(^4\)http://www.iata.org/services/pages/codes.aspx

\(^5\)https://www.google.com/flights/
relationships between different hierarchy levels by placing hierarchically related tags closely. Applied within a digital humanities project, the design of TagSpheres was evaluated as aesthetic and intuitive, and the humanities scholars emphasized the utility of TagSpheres for their work. Further usage scenarios in sports and aviation outline the inherence of hierarchical textual information in various domains.

Despite few listed limitations, TagSpheres might be applicable to a multitude of further research questions from other areas. Also imaginable is the combination of TagSpheres and TagPies to support the comparative analysis of different hierarchical, textual summaries.
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