# **MYHILL-NERODE Theorem for Sequential Transducers over GCD-Semirings**

## Andreas Maletti

Faculty of Computer Science; Dresden University of Technology; 01062 Dresden; GERMANY





#### **Sequential Transducers — Definition**

- A sequential transducer [3, 4] is a weighted automaton  $(Q, \Sigma, A, I, F, \mu)$  such that
- $I_q \neq \mathbf{0}$  for at most one  $q \in Q$ ,
- ullet  $F \in \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}^Q$ , and
- for every  $q \in Q$  and  $a \in \Sigma$  there exists at most one  $p \in Q$  such that  $\mu(a)_{q,p} \neq \mathbf{0}$ .

## Motivation

- Sequential transducers are applied, e.g., in
- Text processing (pattern matching, indexing, compression),
- Natural language processing (recognition, synthesis),
- Image processing (filtering, compression)

## **MYHILL-NERODE Congruence — Definition**

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a *unique GCD-semiring* and S be a *formal power series*. We define the **MYHILL-NERODE** congruence relation  $\equiv_S \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$  by  $w_1 \equiv_S w_2$ , iff there exist  $a_1, a_2 \in A \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that for every  $w \in \Sigma^*$ 

#### **Extension to GCD-Semirings**

**Corollary:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a *GCD-semiring*. The following are equivalent. (i) S is *directed*, and  $\equiv_{[S]_{\sim}}$  and  $\equiv_{S'}$  with  $S' = ([S]_{\sim})^{-1} \odot S$  have *finite index* [1, 5]. (ii) S is *sequential*.

## **Sequential Transducers — Examples**

*Non-minimal sequential transducer* for  $(S, w) = |w|_{aba} + |w|_{ab^+a}$ , if  $w = w' \cdot a$ , otherwise  $(S, w) = -\infty$ :



#### Minimal sequential transducer:

/			\
1			
		-	

 $w_1 \cdot w \in \operatorname{supp}(S) \iff w_2 \cdot w \in \operatorname{supp}(S)$  $a_1^{-1}g(w_1 \cdot w) = a_2^{-1}g(w_2 \cdot w).$ 



#### **Directedness** — **Definition**

*S* is called *directed*, if (S, w) = g(w) for all  $w \in \text{supp}(S)$  where

 $g(w) = \gcd_{u \in \Sigma^*, w \cdot u \in \operatorname{supp}(S)}(S, wu).$ 

#### Minimal Sequential Transducer — Construction

**Proposition:** If *S* is *directed* and  $\equiv_S$  has *finite index*, then there exists a *sequential transducer M* with  $ind(\equiv_S)$  states such that S(M) = S.

## References

- [1] Jack W. Carlyle and Azaria Paz. Realizations by stochastic finite automaton. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 5(1):26–40, 1971.
- [2] Nathan Jacobsen. *Basic Algebra I*. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, second edition, 1985.
- [3] Mehryar Mohri. Finite-state transducers in language and speech processing. *Computational Linguistics*, 23(2):269–311, 1997.
- [4] Mehryar Mohri. Minimization algorithms for sequential transducers. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 234(1–2):177–201, 2000.
- [5] Marcel P. Schützenberger and Christophe Reutenauer. Minimization of rational word functions. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 20(4):669–685, 1991.

Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \mathcal{A}, I, F, \mu)$  where for every  $w \in \Sigma^*$  and  $a \in \Sigma$ •  $Q = [\Sigma^*]$ ,

- $I([w]) = g(\varepsilon)$ , if  $[w] = [\varepsilon]$ , otherwise  $I([w]) = \mathbf{0}$ , •  $F([w]) = \mathbf{1}$ , if  $w \in \operatorname{supp}(S)$ , otherwise  $F([w]) = \mathbf{0}$ , and
- $\mu(a)_{[w],[w \cdot a]} = g(w)^{-1} \odot g(w \cdot a)$ , otherwise  $\mu(a)_{q,p} = \mathbf{0}$ .

### **Main Theorem**

**Proof:** 

**Theorem:** The following are equivalent. (i) *S* is *directed* and  $\equiv_S$  has *finite index*.

(ii) *S* is *sequential*, i.e., there exists a *sequential transducer M* such that S(M) = S.

## Contact

Postal address:Andreas MalettiFakultät InformatikTechnische Universität Dresden01062 DresdenGERMANY

*Email address*: maletti@tcs.inf.tu-dresden.de